TITLE V BLOCK GRANT APPLICATION FORMS (1-21) STATE: MD

APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

- FORM 1 SF424
- FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS
- FORM 3 STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE
- FORM 4 BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED AND SOURCES OF FEDERAL FUNDS
- FORM 5 STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES
- Form 6 Number and Percentage of Newborn and Others Screened, Case Confirmed, and Treated
- FORM 7 NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (UNDUPLICATED) UNDER TITLE V
- FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX
- FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA
- Form 10 Title V Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant State Profile for FY 2004
- FORM 11 NATIONAL AND STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURES
- FORM 12 NATIONAL AND STATE OUTCOME MEASURES
- FORM 13 CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL HEALTH CARE NEEDS
- FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS
- FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE (TA) REQUEST AND TRACKING
- FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE/OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEETS
- FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEM CAPACITY INDICATORS (01 THROUGH 04,07,08) MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 18
 - O MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON
 - O MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
 - O SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
- FORM 19
 - O GENERAL MCH DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09A)
 - O ADOLESCENT TOBACCO USE DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09B)
 - O OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09C)
- FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS 01-05 MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 21
 - O POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 06)
 - O LIVE BIRTH DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 07)
 - O INFANT AND CHILDREN MORTALITY DATA (HSI 08)
 - O MISCELLANEOUS DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 09)
 - O GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREA DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 10)
 - O POVERTY LEVEL DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 11)
 - O POVERTY LEVEL FOR CHILDREN DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 12)
- New State Performance and Outcome Measures for New Needs Assessment Period

APPLICATION FOR FEDERAL ASSISTANCE	2. DATE SUBMITTED 7/15/2005	APPLICANT IDENTIFIER				
1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION:	3. DATE RECEIVED BY STATE	STATE APPLICATION IDENTIFIER				
Application Pre-application		143148679				
Construction Construction	4. DATE RECEIVED BY FEDERAL AGENCY	FEDERAL IDENTIFIER				
Non-Construction Non-Construction		6 B04 MC 000297-06				
5. APPLICANT INFORMATION						
Legal Name: Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene	Organizational Unit: Family Health Administration/CMCH					
Address (give city, county, state and zip code)	Name and telephone number of the person to	o be contacted on matters involving this				
201 W. Preston Street	application (give area code) Name: Bonnie S. Birkel, CRNP, MPH					
Baltimore, MD 21201 County:	Tel Number: 410-767-6721					
6. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (EIN):	7. TYPE OF APPLICANT: (Enter appropriate	eletter in box) A				
526002033	A. State H. Independent School D B. County I. State Controlled Institut	vistrict tion of Higher Learning				
	C. Municiple J. Private University D. Township K. Indian Tribe					
	E. Interstate L. Individual					
	F. Intermuniciple M. Profit Organization G. Special District N. Other (Specify)					
8. TYPE OF APPLICATION:	9 NAME OF FEDERAL AGENCY:					
New Continuation Revision	Health Resources and Services Administr	ation, Maternal and Child Health Bureau				
If Revision, enter appropriate letter(s) in box(es) A. Increase Award B. Decrease Award C. Increase Duration Decrease Duration Other (specify):						
10. CATALOG OF FEDERAL DOMESTIC ASSISTANCE NUMBER:	III	11. DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF APPLICANT'S PROJECT: Maryland Title V MCH Block Grant Program				
93 994						
TITLE: Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant						
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROJECT (cities, communities	\dashv					
states, etc.): Entire State						
13. PROPOSED PROJECT:	14. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF:	14. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF:				
Start Date: Ending Date: 09/30/2006	a. Applicant 7	b. Project 1-8				
	ATION SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY STATE EXEC					
a. Federal \$ 12,367,865.00 ORDER 1	PREAPPLICATION/APPLICATION WAS MADE 372 PROCESS FOR REVIEW ON	AVAILABLE TO THE STATE EXECUTIVE				
b. Applicant \$ 0.00 DATE: 7/1	/2005					
c. State \$ 9,275,914.00	OGRAM IS NOT COVERED BY E.O. 12372					
	PROGRAM HAS NOT BEEN SELECTED BY S	TATE FOR REVIEW				
e. Other \$ 0.00						
f. Program \$ 0.00						
Income	PLICANT DELINQUENT ON ANY FEDERAL DE					
1 a TOTAL	s", attach an explanation No	ы				
18. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, AL	VERNING BODY OF THE APPLICANT AND THI					
ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF THE ASSISTANCE IS AWA	RDED.					
	b. Title Secretary	c. Telephone Number 410-767-6505				

Previous Editions Not Usable

Standard Form 424 (REV. 4-88) Prescribed by OMB A-102

ı	FORM 2		
MCH BUDGET I	DETAILS FOR FY	2006	
	(d) and 505(a)(3)(4)]		
ST	ATE: MD		
FEDERAL ALLOCATION (Item 15a of the Application Face Sheet [SF 424]) Of the Federal Allocation (1 above), the amount earmarked for:			\$ 12,367,885
A.Preventive and primary care for children:			
\$3,889,619 (31.45%)			
B.Children with special health care needs:			
\$ 3,886,445 (31.42%) (If either A or B is less than 30%, a waiver request must accompany the	e application)[Sec. 505(a)(3)]	
C.Title V admininstrative costs:			
\$ 960,995 (7.77%) (The above figure cannot be more than 10%)[Sec. 504(d)]			
2. UNOBLIGATED BALANCE (Item 15b of SF 424)			\$ 0
3. STATE MCH FUNDS (Item 15c of the SF 424)			\$ 9,275,914
4. LOCAL MCH FUNDS (Item 15d of SF 424)			\$ 0
5. OTHER FUNDS (Item 15e of SF 424)			\$ 0
6. PROGRAM INCOME (Item 15f of SF 424)			\$ 0
7. TOTAL STATE MATCH (Lines 3 through 6) (Below is your State's FY 1989 Maintainence of Effort Amount) \$ 8,262,484			\$ 9,275,914
8. FEDERAL-STATE TITLE V BLOCK GRANT (Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424)	PARTNERSHIP	(SUBTOTAL)	\$ 21,643,799
9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (Funds under the control of the person responsible for the administration of	of the Title V program)		
a. SPRANS:	\$	349,977	
b. SSDI:	\$	100,000	
c. CISS:	\$	100,000	
d. Abstinence Education:	\$	569,675	
e. Healthy Start:	\$	0	
f. EMSC:	\$	0	
g. WIC:	\$	64,679,781	
h. AIDS:	\$	0	
i. CDC:	\$	8,224,076	
j. Education:	\$	0	
k. Other:			
Family Planning	\$	3,956,186	
Injury	\$ \$	1,188,131	
Preventive Health BG	\$	2,299,500	
Primary Care	\$	591,661	
10. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (SUBTOTAL of all Funds und	er item 0)		\$ 82,058,987
	oi itelli 9)		
11. STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL (Partnership subtotal + Other Federal MCH Funds subtotal)			\$ 103,702,786

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 2
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

STATE: MD

	FY 2	2004	FY 2	2005	FY 2	2006			
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED			
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$12,212,800	\$12,212,800	\$12,212,800	\$0	\$12,367,885	\$0			
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$9,159,600	\$9,159,600	\$9,159,600	\$0	\$9,275,914	\$0			
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$	\$	\$21,372,400	\$0	\$21,643,799	\$0			
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)				
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$ 68,606,262	\$ 68,606,262	\$ 71,508,024	\$0	\$ 82,058,987	\$0			
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$89,978,662	\$89,978,662	\$92,880,424	\$0	\$103,702,786	\$0			
	(STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL)								

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

STATE: MD

	FY 2	2001	FY 2	2002	FY 2003				
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED			
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$12,168,163	\$9,065,303	\$12,087,071	\$12,087,071	\$12,232,141	\$12,232,141			
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$0	\$3,021,768	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$9,126,123	\$9,065,303	\$9,065,304	\$ 9,065,304	\$9,174,106	\$9,174,106			
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$21,294,286	\$21,152,374	\$ 21,152,375	\$ 21,152,375	\$ 21,406,247	\$\$21,406,247			
		(THE FE	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)				
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$80,461,785	\$88,850,031	\$	\$	\$65,560,960	\$ 65,560,960			
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$101,756,071	\$110,002,405	\$97,200,392	\$ 97,200,392	\$86,967,207	\$ 86,967,207			
	(STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL)								

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

STATE: MD

		FY 2	2004	ı		FY 2	2005			FY 2	2006	
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	Ви	DGETED	Exp	PENDED	Вι	JDGETED	EXF	PENDED	Ві	JDGETED	Ехрі	ENDED
a. Pregnant Women	\$	3,357,700	\$	3,357,700	\$	4,025,872	\$	0	\$_	4,021,441	\$	(
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$_	2,083,045	\$	2,083,045	\$	2,369,404	\$	0	\$_	2,362,505	\$	(
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$_	11,187,782	\$	11,187,782	\$	8,144,054	\$	0	\$_	8,018,167	\$	(
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$	4,126,699	\$	4,126,699	\$_	5,285,211	\$	0	\$_	5,554,945	\$	(
e. Others	\$	0	\$	0	\$_	0	\$	0	\$_	0	\$	(
f. Administration	\$	617,174	\$	617,174	\$_	1,547,859	\$	0	\$_	1,686,741	\$	(
g. SUBTOTAL	\$	21,372,400	\$	21,372,400	\$	21,372,400	\$	0	\$_	21,643,799	\$	0
II. Other Federal Funds (under the o	ontr	ol of the person re	espo	nsible for admini	stra	ation of the Title V	prog	ram).				
a. SPRANS	\$	250,411			\$_	359,565			\$_	349,977		
b. SSDI	\$	100,000			\$	100,000			\$	100,000		
c. CISS	\$	0]		\$	0			\$	100,000		
d. Abstinence Education	\$_	523,806]		\$	535,590			\$	569,675		
e. Healthy Start	\$	0			\$	0			\$_	0		
f. EMSC	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
g. WIC	\$	53,038,144]		\$_	56,001,230			\$_	64,679,781		
h. AIDS	\$	0			\$_	0			\$_	0		
i. CDC	\$	7,342,080			\$	6,888,317			\$	8,224,076		
j. Education	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
k.Other]											
Family Planning	\$	3,512,485	[\$	3,510,017			\$	3,956,186		
Injury	\$	240,125			\$_	381,603			\$_	1,188,131		
Preventive Health BG	\$	0			\$_	0			\$_	2,299,500		
Primary Care	\$	445,567			\$_	0			\$_	591,661		
Preventive Hlth BG	\$	3,153,644			\$_	3,226,326			\$_	0		
Primary Care	\$_	0			\$_	505,376			\$	0		
	\$	68,606,262	1		F	71,508,024				82,058,987		

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

STATE: MD

	FY	2001	FY:	2002	FY:	2003
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	ETED EXPENDED BUDGETED EX		EXPENDED
a. Pregnant Women	\$3,199,991	\$3,707,414	\$3,256,798	\$3,256,798	\$3,743,823	\$3,743,823
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$ 3,243,843	\$3,013,910	\$3,581,453	\$3,581,453	\$3,469,291	\$3,469,291
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$ 8,209,319	\$ 10,042,724	\$ 9,148,755	\$ 9,148,755	\$ 9,325,820	\$ 9,325,820
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$5,408,856	\$ 3,877,146	\$4,795,774	\$4,795,774	\$4,330,829	\$4,330,829
e. Others	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
f. Administration	\$1,232,277	\$ 511,180	\$ 369,595	\$ 369,595	\$536,484	\$536,484
g. SUBTOTAL	\$ 21,294,286	\$21,152,374	\$21,152,375	\$21,152,375	\$21,406,247	\$21,406,247
II. Other Federal Funds (under the	control of the person	responsible for admini	stration of the Title V	program).		
a. SPRANS	\$50,831]	\$82,406		\$99,960	
b. SSDI	\$ 94,929]	\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000	
c. CISS	\$0]	\$0		\$0	
d. Abstinence Education	\$ 525,512]	\$535,712		\$526,242	
e. Healthy Start	\$0]	\$0		\$0	
f. EMSC	\$0		\$0		\$0	
g. WIC	\$51,990,169]	\$51,023,639		\$51,103,433	
h. AIDS	\$ 542,329		\$1,008,413		\$0	
i. CDC	\$15,984,561]	\$15,013,666		\$6,389,931	
j. Education	\$0]	\$0		\$0	
k.Other		_		_		
FAMILY PLANNING	\$0		\$0		\$3,198,689	
INJURY	\$0		\$0		\$ 449,929	
PHHS	\$0]	\$0		\$ 3,290,022	
PRIMARY CARE	\$0]	\$0		\$\$	
Injury/Immunizations	\$0]	\$5,200,746		\$0	
Title X	\$\$]	\$3,083,435		\$0	
Injury, Immunizations	\$8,316,744]	\$0		\$0	
III. SUBTOTAL	\$ 80,461,785]	\$76,048,017		\$ 65,560,960	

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 4
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

STATE: MD

TYPE OF SERVICE	FY 2	2004	FY 2	2005	FY 2006		
TIPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$	\$	\$ 2,085,954	\$0	\$	\$0	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$8,883,965	\$8,883,965	\$7,927,443	\$0	\$7,883,545	\$0	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$3,333,194	\$3,333,194	\$\$	\$0	\$\$2,328,263	\$0	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$6,915,010	\$6,915,010	\$8,650,200	\$0	\$9,317,651	\$0	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$21,372,400	\$21,372,400	\$21,372,400	\$0	\$21,643,799	\$0	

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

STATE: MD

TYPE OF SERVICE	FY 2	2001	FY :	2002	FY 2003		
TIPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 2,532,347	\$3,752,518	\$1,637,288	\$\$	\$4,183,036	\$4,183,036	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$10,350,719	\$10,448,955	\$7,850,195	\$	\$6,308,149	\$6,308,149	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$\$	\$998,891	\$3,696,052	\$3,446,052	\$3,389,427	\$3,389,427	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$6,351,423	\$5,952,010	\$	\$ 6,968,840	\$	\$	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$21,294,286	\$21,152,374	\$21,152,375	\$21,124,375	\$21,406,247	\$21,406,247	

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

Total Births by Occurrence:	FORM 6											
Type of Screening Tests	NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORNS AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASES CONFIRMED, AND TREATED											
Type of Screening Tests	Sect. 506(a)(2)(B)(iii)											
Type of Screening Tests	STATE: MD											
Type of Screening Tests												
Type of Screening Tests	Total Births by Occurrence: 70,541 Reporting Year: 2004											
Type of Screening Tests												
Phenylketonuria 75,738 Congenital Hypothyroidism 75,738 Galactosemia 75,738 Sickle Cell Disease 75,738 Other Screening (Specify) Biotinidase Deficiency 75,738 Tyrosinemia 75,738 Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia (CAH) 75,738 Maple Syrup Urine Disease (MSUD) 75,738 Hyperphenylalaninemia 75,738 Medium Chain AcylCo-A Dehydrogenase (MCAD) 75,738	(A) east one Screen (1)	(B) No. of Presumptive Positive	(C) No. Confirmed		o) eatment that reatment(3)							
Congenital Hypothyroidism 75,739 Galactosemia 75,739 Sickle Cell Disease 75,739 Other Screening (Specify) 75,739 Biotinidase Deficiency 75,739 Homocystinuria 75,739 Tyrosinemia 75,739 Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia (CAH) 75,739 Maple Syrup Urine Disease (MSUD) 75,739 Hyperphenylalaninemia 75,739 Medium Chain AcylCo- A Dehydrogenase (MCAD) 75,739	%	Screens	Cases (2)	No.	%							
Hypothyroidism	107.4	11	3	3	100							
Sickle Cell Disease 75,739 Other Screening (Specify) Biotinidase Deficiency 75,739 Homocystinuria 75,739 Tyrosinemia 75,739 Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia (CAH) 75,739 Maple Syrup Urine Disease (MSUD) 75,739 Hyperphenylalaninemia 75,739 Medium Chain AcylCo-A Dehydrogenase (MCAD) 75,739	107.4	29	27	27	100							
Other Screening (Specify) Biotinidase Deficiency 75,739 Homocystinuria 75,739 Tyrosinemia 75,739 Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia (CAH) 75,739 Maple Syrup Urine Disease (MSUD) 75,739 Hyperphenylalaninemia 75,739 Medium Chain AcylCo-A Dehydrogenase (MCAD) 75,739	107.4	5	3	3	100							
Biotinidase Deficiency 75,739	107.4	113	85	84	98.8							
Homocystinuria 75,738 Tyrosinemia 75,738 Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia (CAH) 75,738 Maple Syrup Urine Disease (MSUD) 75,738 Hyperphenylalaninemia 75,738 Medium Chain AcylCo-A Dehydrogenase (MCAD) 75,738												
Tyrosinemia 75,738 Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia (CAH) 75,738 Maple Syrup Urine Disease (MSUD) 75,738 Hyperphenylalaninemia 75,738 Medium Chain AcylCo- A Dehydrogenase (MCAD) 75,738	107.4	17	1	1	100							
Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia (CAH) Maple Syrup Urine Disease (MSUD) Hyperphenylalaninemia 75,739 Medium Chain AcylCo- A Dehydrogenase (MCAD) 75,739	107.4	5	1	1	100							
Hyperplasia (CAH) Maple Syrup Urine Disease (MSUD) Hyperphenylalaninemia 75,738 Medium Chain AcylCo- A Dehydrogenase (MCAD) 75,738	107.4	3	0	0								
Disease (MSUD) Hyperphenylalaninemia 75,738 Medium Chain AcylCo- A Dehydrogenase (MCAD) 75,738	107.4	11	4	4	100							
Medium Chain AcylCo- A Dehydrogenase (MCAD) 75,739	107.4	8	1	1	100							
A Dehydrogenase (MCAD) 75,739	107.4	11	1	1	100							
	107.4	2	2	2	100							
Screening Programs for Older Childre (1) Use occurrent births as denominator		ecify Tests by na	ame)									
(2) Report only those from resident birth (3) Use number of confirmed cases as c												

The newborn screening data are for calendar year (CY) 2004 to be consistent with the report to the National Newborn Screening and Genetics Resource Center. The "other" screening data are for FY 2004.

Maryland fully implemented tandem mass spectrometry for the detection of disorders of the urea cycle, fatty acid oxidation, and organic acid metabolism and began expanded newborn screening for 32 disorders in November 2003.

Pediatrix (formerly NeoGen) became licensed to do first tier newborn screening in the State in 2003. This commercial laboratory operates in competition with the State Public Health Laboratory. Although Pediatrix provided screening for only a small proportion of the hospitals in the State at the beginning of CY 2004 (5 out of 35 birthing hospitals), by the end of CY 2004 Pediatrix had contracts with 11 of 35 birthing hospitals. These include some of the larger birthing hospitals and by the end of CY 2004, Pediatrix was positioned to screen approximately a third of Maryland births. The OGCSHCN continues to work with Pediatrix as well as the State Public Health Laboratory to do the short and long term follow up for all Maryland babies with abnormal screening test results. However, there have been significant challenges to the comprehensive system of follow-up existing in Maryland following the introduction of a commercial laboratory. Despite the best efforts of all concerned, the difficulties of running a comprehensive State newborn screening program with two laboratories have proven much greater than anticipated. Meshing the 2 data systems has been much more difficult than expected.

In adition, there continue to be significant differences of opinion between Pediatrix and the OGCSHCN as to what data Pediatrix is pledged to exchange with the OGCSHCN, as to what constitutes a "Maryland baby' and in the interpretation of the relevant portions of HIPAA with regard to information exchange. Pediatrix maintains that they are only required to report babies screened as a result of a contract with a Maryland hospital, that home births have "opted out" of the Maryland system and that military facilities are on federal territory and not "in Maryland". Consequently, Maryland's newborn screening data maybe incomplete. This affects both blood spot screening and hearing screening because Maryland collects the results of hearing screening performed in the hospital before discharge on the newborn blood spot screening lab slip. This makes it very difficult for the OGSCHCN to assure that all babies are, in fact, screened. The settlement agreement is not detailed enough to settle these issues. The OGCSHCN continues to work with Pediatrix on the issues of data exchange.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

 Section Number: Main Field Name: BirthOccurence

Row Name: Total Births By Occurence Column Name: Total Births By Occurence

Year: 2006

Field Note:

Maryland screens all babies born in Maryland and the babies of many Maryland residents born in other jurisdictions, the majority of out of state Maryland resident births are born in Washington, DC Therefore the number of resident births screened is larger than the number of occurant births.

Newborn screening data are for CY2004, to be consistent with the report to the National Newborn Screening and Genetics Resource Center.

The denominator is for occurrent births in CY 2004.

2. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Phenylketonuria_OneScreenNo Row Name: Phenylketonuria Column Name: Receiving at least one screen

Year: 2006

Field Note:

A considerable number of Maryland residents go into Washington, DC to deliver, yet many have newborn screens sent to Maryland. Therefore the number of resident births screened is larger than the number of occurant births.

The data are for calendar year (CY) 2004 to be consistent with the report to the National Newborn Screening and Genetics Resource Center.

3. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Congenital_OneScreenNo

Row Name: Congenital

Column Name: Receiving at least one screen

Year: 2006 Field Note:

A considerable number of Maryland residents go into Washington, DC to deliver, yet many have newborn screens sent to Maryland. Therefore the number of resident births screened is larger than the number of occurant births.

The data are for calendar year (CY) 2004 to be consistent with the report to the National Newborn Screening and Genetics Resource Center.

4. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Galactosemia_OneScreenNo Row Name: Galactosemia

Column Name: Receiving at least one screen

Year: 2006 Field Note:

A considerable number of Maryland residents go into Washington, DC to deliver, yet many have newborn screens sent to Maryland. Therefore the number of resident births screened is larger than the number of occurant births.

The data are for calendar year (CY) 2004 to be consistent with the report to the National Newborn Screening and Genetics Resource Center.

5. Section Number: Main

Field Name: SickleCellDisease_OneScreenNo

Row Name: SickleCellDisease

Column Name: Receiving at least one screen

Year: 2006 Field Note:

A considerable number of Maryland residents go into Washington, DC to deliver, yet many have newborn screens sent to Maryland. Therefore the number of resident births screened is larger than the number of occurant births.

The data are for calendar year (CY) 2004 to be consistent with the report to the National Newborn Screening and Genetics Resource Center.

6. Section Number: Other Screening Types

Field Name: Other Row Name: All Rows Column Name: All Columns

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Maryland does not screen for CF but will begin to do so in FY 2006. Maryland does not screen for Toxoplasmosis.

Maryland fully implemented tandem mass spectrometry for the detection of disorders of the urea cycle, fatty acid oxidation, and organic acid metabolism and began expanded newborn screening for 32 disorders in November 2003. Data on these other disorders follows but it is not possible for the computer to give the number of presumptive positives because both laboratories report only "acylcarnitine profile abnormal" and not the particular analyte(s) that were abnormal. The data on presumptive positives for the urea cycle and amino acid disorders is derived only from the specimens sent to the State laboratory results since they report individual amino acids.

Pediatrix only reports "amino acid profile abnormal."

An additional difficulty is that in several cases after an extensive workup at the metabolic center the exact diagnosis is still unclear. It is clear that the baby has a significant disorder in the given pathway but not which disorder.

Citrullinemia---4 presumptive positives, 2 confirmed and 2 treated

Argininosuccinic aciduria--- 0 confirmed, see above Argininemia---1 presumptive positive, 0 confirmed

Glutaric Acidemia-Type I (GA I)---0 confirmed

3-Hydroxy-3-Methylglutaryl-CoA Lyase Deficiency (HMG CoA Lyase Deficiency)----0 confirmed

Isobutyryl-CoA Dehydrogenase Deficiency---0 confirmed Isovaleric Acidemia (IVA)---1 confirmed and 1 treated

2-Methylbutyryl-CoA Dehydrogenase Deficiency --- 0 confirmed

3-Methylcrotonyl-CoA Carboxylase Deficiency (3MCC Deficiency)---3 confirmed, 3 treated, 1 maternal 3MCC

3-Methylglutaconyl-CoA Hydratase Deficiency---0 confirmed

Methylmalonic Acidemia (MMA)---0 confirmed

Mitchondrial Acetoacetyl-CoA Thiolase Deficiency (3-Ketothiolase Deficiency)---0 confirmed

Propionic Acidemia (PA or PPA)---1 confirmed and 1 treated Multiple Carboxylase Deficiency---0 confirmed

Carnitine/Acylcarnitine Translocase Deficiency (Translocase Deficiency---0 confirmed)
Carnitine Palmitoyl Transferase II Deficiency (CPT II Deficiency)---0 confirmed
Long Chain 3-Hydroxyacyl-CoA Dehydrogenase Deficiency (LCHAD Deficiency)---0 confirmed

Multiple Acyl-CoA Dehydrogenase Deficiency (MADD Deficiency or Glutaric Acidemia-Type II or GA II)---0 confirmed

Short Chain Acyl-CoA Dehydrogenase Deficiency (SCAD Deficiency) --- 1 confirmed and 1 treated

Short Chain 3-Hydroxyacyl-CoA Dehydrogenase Deficiency (SCHAD Deficiency)---0 confirmed

Trifunctional Protein Deficiency (TFP Deficiency)---0 confirmed

Very Long Chain Acyl-CoA Dehydrogenase Deficiency (VLCAD Deficiency)---1 confirmed and 1 treated

Section Number: Screening Programs for Older Children and Women

Field Name: OtherWomen Row Name: All Rows Column Name: All Columns

Year: 2006 Field Note: Data is for FY 2004

Since we keep getting an error message on the "Other Screening Programs for Older Children and Women" because we do not provide "treatment" for some positive screening test results, like carrier screening test results and the program pays no attention to these notes and erases the data, the data has been put here in the note under

AFP/ Quadruple Marker Screening was provided for 1,316 women. We do not provide follow up for AFP/Quadruple Marker screening beyond notifying the physician. The patients with abnormal AFP results are offered counseling and further work up is available at the University of Maryland. However, most OB providers prefer to handle the case themselves from this point on. We have no way of knowing the outcomes of most of these pregnancies.

Hemoglobinopathy Carrier Screening was provided to 8,342 adults and older children. The results of hemoglobinopathy screening go back to the primary care provider who submitted the sample. The hemoglobinopathy screening is primarily to identify carriers. They do not need treatment but are all offered genetic counseling. A list of places to receive counseling is provided. We have no way of tracking uptake.

Maternal PKU screening was provided to 662 women. There were no positives. We do provide long term dietary management to 305 individuals with metabolic disorders and these individuals receive blood level monitoring but we do not have a record of how many tests were provided.

These counseling services are partially subsidized by the grants we make to these programs.

Number of Individuals Served (Unduplicated) under Title V (BY Class of Individuals and Percent of Health Coverage)

[Sec. 506(a)(2)(A)(i-ii)]

STATE: MD

Reporting Year: 2004

	TITLE V	PRIMARY SOURCES OF COVERAGE							
Types of Individuals Served	(A) Total Served	(B) Title XIX %	(C) Title XXI %	(D) Private/Other %	(E) None %	(F) Unknown %			
Pregnant Women	75,739	34.0		45.0	21.0				
Infants < 1 year old	75,739	34.0		45.0	21.0				
Children 1 to 22 years old	41,167	18.0	6.0	67.0	9.0				
Children with Special Healthcare Needs	8,557	6.0	35.0	55.0	4.0	0.0			
Others	9,681					100.0			
TOTAL	210,883								

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main Field Name: PregWomen_TS Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note: data to follow

Section Number: Main Field Name: Children_0_1_TS Row Name: Infants <1 year of age Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

There were 70,541 Maryland recorded births for CY 2004. Out of state births to Maryland residents for CY 2004 are not yet available from vital statistics. The best estimate for CY 2004 resident births is 75,739 because that is the number of infants screened in the newborn screening program. The number of infants served is the number of infants screened in the newborn screening program (75,739) .

Section Number: Main

Field Name: Children_1_22_TS
Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years of age

Column Name: Title V Total Served Year: 2006

Field Note: Data to follow

Section Number: Main Field Name: CSHCN_TS

Row Name: Children with Special Health Care Needs

Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006

This number includes only those children (CSHCN) receiving a relatively direct service from the OGCSHCN. These children received metabolic disease case management / dietary therapy, sickle cell disease case management, respite care, direct fee for service specialty care through Children's Medical Services, and IFSP case management. This does not include children receiving specialty care at the Centers of Excellence or the genetics centers and their outreach clinics. However, the specialty clinic system at the tertiary care centers, which receives a partial subsidy from the OGCSHCN, provides approximately 95,000 specialty clinic visits to approximately 45,000 children. The OGCSHCN subsidy helps support clinic infrastructure and wrap around services. The distribution of payment sources is based on payment data from the specialty care

centers.

Section Number: Main Field Name: CSHCN_XIX

Row Name: Children with Special Health Care Needs

Column Name: Title XIX %

Year: 2006

Field Note:

This is an estimate, these children can't be teased out og medicaid with perfect accurracy.

Section Number: Main Field Name: AllOthers_TS

Row Name: Others Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006

In addition, approximately 9,681non pregnant adults were provided with some service. 8,223 (FY 04) adults were provided carrier screening for sickle cell disease, 728 adults were provided Tay Sachs disease carrier screening, and 662 women were screened for high blood phenylalanine (before becoming pregnant). In addition, 68 adults with hemophilia received comprehensive center clinic visits. However, we have no data on the distribution of payment in the above patients. In addition, 7,361 persons were served by the State clinical genetics system and approximately 10,812 persons received complex genetic testing. Since the withdrawl of federal funding to MARHGN (our regional genetic network), however, we do not have the resources to collect data on the age distribution or method of payment of these patients.

FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX (BY BACE AND ETHNICITY)

XIX
(BY RACE AND ETHNICITY)
[Sec. 506(A)(2)(C-D)]
STATE: MD

Reporting Year: 2004

I. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY RACE

	(A) Total All Races	(B) White	(C) Black or African American	(D) American Indian or Native Alaskan	(E) Asian	(F) Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	(G) More than one race reported	(H) Other and Unknown		
DELIVERIES										
Total Deliveries in State	74,865	43,941	24,244	180	4,343			2,157		
Title V Served	74,865	43,941	24,244	180	4,343			2,157		
Eligible for Title XIX	25,559	7,930	11,904	54	661	7		5,003		
INFANTS										
Total Infants in State	73,462	43,324	24,103	146	3,089	32	2,768			
Title V Served	73,462	43,324	24,103	146	3,089	32	2,768			
Eligible for Title XIX	32,554	8,479	14,352	56	816	8		8,843		

II. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY ETHNICITY

				HISPANIC OR LATINO (Sub-categories by country or area of origin)				
	(A) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	(B) Total Hispanic or Latino	(C) Ethnicity Not Reported	(B.1) Mexican	(B.2) Cuban	(B.3) Puerto Rican	(B.4) Central and South American	(B.5) Other and Unknown
DELIVERIES								
Total Deliveries in State	67,899	6,966						
Title V Served	67,899	6,966						
Eligible for Title XIX	21,557	4,002						
INFANTS								
Total Infants in State	68,108	5,354						
Title V Served	68,108	5,354						
Eligible for Title XIX	27,344	5,210						
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						·	·

There is a discrepancy between form 8 and form 6 because Maryland screens more babies than were physically born within the State's borders.

Maryland screens all babies born in Maryland and the babies of many Maryland residents born in other jurisdictions, the majority of out of state Maryland resident births are born in Washington. DC Therefore the number of resident births screened is larger than the number of occurant births.

Newborn screening data are for CY2004, to be consistent with the report to the National Newborn Screening and Genetics Resource Center.

The denominator is for occurrent births in CY 2004.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: DeliveriesTotal_All Row Name: Total Deliveries in State Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

This is CY 2004 to be consistent with the number of infants screened in newborn screening.

2. Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTotal_All Row Name: Total Infants in State Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

There is a discrepancy between form 8 and form 6 because Maryland screens more babies than were physically born within the State's borders.

Maryland screens all babies born in Maryland and the babies of many Maryland residents born in other jurisdictions, the majority of out of state Maryland resident births are born in Washington, DC Therefore the number of resident births screened is larger than the number of occurant births.

Newborn screening data are for CY2004, to be consistent with the report to the National Newborn Screening and Genetics Resource Center.

The denominator is for occurrent births in CY 2004.

3. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity Field Name: DeliveriesTotal_TotalNotHispanic

Row Name: Total Deliveries in State

Column Name: Total Not Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data is not available by country of origin

4. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: DeliveriesTotal_TotalHispanic Row Name: Total Deliveries in State Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino Year: 2006

Field Note:

Data is not available by country of origin.

5. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleV_TotalHispanic

Row Name: Title V Served

Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino **Year:** 2006

Field Note:

Data is not available by country of origin.

6. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleXIX_TotalHispanic Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX

Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data is not available by country of origin.

7. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTotal_TotalHispanic Row Name: Total Infants in State Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data is not available by country of origin.

8. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleV_TotalHispanic

Row Name: Title V Served

Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data is not available by country of origin.

9. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX_TotalHispanic Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data is not available by country of origin.

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: MD

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number	800-456-8900	800-456-8900	800-456-8900	(800) 456-8900	(800) 456-8900
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	MCH Hotline	MCH Hotline	MCH Hotline	MCH Hotline Line	MCH Hotline Line
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Arneda Johnson	Arneda Johnson	Karen Brown	R. Murphey	R. Murphey
Contact Person's Telephone Number	410-767-8847	410-767-8847	410-767-8847	(410) 767-1483	(410) 767-1483
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0		35,669	46,809	57,819

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM (OPTIONAL) [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: MD

FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
(800)-638-8864	(800) 638-8864	(800) 638-8864		
Children's Resource Line	Children's Resource Line	Children's Resource Line		
Barbara Greer	Barbara Greer/ Betty Smi	Barbara Greer/ Betty Smi		
410-767-6730	(410)-767-6730	(410)-767-6730		
0	0	1,800	1,904	63,440
	(800)-638-8864 Children's Resource Line Barbara Greer	(800)-638-8864 (800) 638-8864 Children's Resource Line Children's Resource Line Barbara Greer Barbara Greer/ Betty Smi	(800)-638-8864 (800) 638-8864 (800) 638-8864 Children's Resource Line Children's Resource Line Children's Resource Line Barbara Greer Barbara Greer/ Betty Smi Barbara Greer/ Betty Smi 410-767-6730 (410)-767-6730 (410)-767-6730	(800)-638-8864 (800) 638-8864 (800) 638-8864

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main
Field Name: calls_2
Row Name: Number of calls received On the State MCH Hotline This reporting period
Column Name: FY
Year: 2004
Field Note:
Data is for calendar year 2004.

FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2006 [SEC. 506(A)(1)]

STATE: MD

1. State MCH Administration:

The Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, Family Health Administration (FHA) administers Maryland's Title V MCH Block Grant Program. The Title V Program provides leadership for maternal and child issues in Maryland, supports state and community needs assessment and planning activities, develops MCH policies and standards, and supports activities to protect, promote and improve the health of all women, chidlren, adolescents and young adults including those with special health care needs. The Block Grant supports gap-filling direct services for the uninsured and the under-insured; enabling services, such as home visiting, care coordination and genetic counseling; populaltion based services, such as newborn screening and lead poisoning prevention; and infrastructure building activities such as epidemiological analyses and MCH standard development. FHA also provides leadership direction for the WIC Program, the Title X Family Planning Program, the Primary Care Cooperative Agreement, Preventive Health Services, Health Promotion and Tobacco Use Prevention and Oral Health. The MCH Program continues to promote and strengthen partnerships with other state agencies, advocacy groups, parent groups, providers and community- based organizations.

Block Grant Funds		
2. Federal Allocation (Line 1, Form 2)	\$ 12,367,885	
3. Unobligated balance (Line 2, Form 2)	\$ 0	
4. State Funds (Line 3, Form 2)	\$ 9,275,914	
5. Local MCH Funds (Line 4, Form 2)	\$ 0	
6. Other Funds (Line 5, Form 2)	\$ 0	
7. Program Income (Line 6, Form 2)	\$ 0	
8. Total Federal-State Partnership (Line 8, Form 2)	\$ 21,643,799	
9. Most significant providers receiving MCH funds:		Local health departments Tertiary care centers
	Co	ommunity-based organizations
 Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7) Pregnant Women 	75,739	
b. Infants < 1 year old	75,739	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	41,167	
d. CSHCN	8,557	
e. Others	9,681	

- 11. Statewide Initiatives and Partnerships:
- a. Direct Medical Care and Enabling Services:

Pregnant women, mothers and infants: preconception counseling (including folic acid awareness for all women and genetic counseling), direct medical and dental services, family planning services, prenatal care including referrals for mental health and substance abuse services, outreach and enrollment services for Medicaid managed care and MCHP, public health nurse home visiting, and grief counseling (FIMR/SIDS). Children and adolescents: abstinence only education, school health clinics, public health nurse home visiting, oral health services, family planning and early intervention services. CSHCN: primary and specialty services for uninsured and underinsured children, care coordination, respite care, genetic testing and follow-up, genetics clinics, and medical day care centers.

b Population-Based Services:

(max 2500 characters)

Pregnant, mothers and infants: newborn screening, including universal newborn hearing screening, breastfeeding promotion, hepatitis B and congenital syphilis surveillance and monitoring, and dissemination of educational materials about postpartum depression, shaken baby syndrome, lead and other MCH issues. Children and adolescents: immunizations, lead screening, asthma control, dental flouride program (flouride tablet/rinse), teen pregnancy prevention, health education and promotion, obesity prevention, school screenings (hearing, vision, scolosis). CSHCN: genetics service outreach and education.

c. Infrastructure Building Services:

(max 2500 characters)

Title V resources support state and community health assessment, evaluation of public health strategies, policy and standards development, and quality monitoring. Emphasis is on improving/enhancing MCH epidemiological fiscal and administrative capabilities to ensure accountability through monitoring of process and outcome measures. Pregant women, mothers and infants: Maternal mortality review, Improved Pregnancy Outcome Program funds perinatal health coordinator in every jurisdiction and fetal, infant and mortality review processes; Crenshaw Perinatal Health Initiative (promotes regionalized systems of care), statewide maternal transport system, PRAMS, and chair breastfeeding and FAS task forces. Children and adolescents: early childhood systems planning, lead prevention targeting plan, asthma surveillance, medical consultations for school health, child fatality review. CSHCN: development of statewide CSHCN delivery system and statewide clincial genetics service system.

12. The primary Title V Program contact person:

13. The children with special health care needs (CSHCN) contact person:

Name	Bonnie S. Birkel, CRNP, MPH	Name	Susan Panny, M.D.
Title	Director, Center for Maternal and Child Health	Title	Director, Office for Genetics and Children with Special I
Address	DHMH - 201 W. Preston Street	Address	DHMH - 201 W. Preston Street

City	Baltimore	City	Baltimore
State	Maryland	State	Maryland
Zip	21201	Zip	21201
Phone	410-767-6721	Phone	410-767-6730
Fax	410-333-5233	Fax	410-333-5047
Email	birkelb@dhmh.state.md.us	Email	pannys@dhmh.state.md.us
Web	fha.state.md.us/mch	Web	fha.state.md.us/genetics

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

TRACKING PERFORMANCE MEASURES

[SECS 485 (2)(2)(B)(III) AND 486 (A)(2)(A)(III)]

STATE: MD

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 01

The percent of newborns who are screened and confirmed with condition(s) mandated by their State-sponsored newborn screening programs (e.g. phenylketonuria and hemoglobinopathies) who receive appropriate follow up as defined by their State.

		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	95	95	95	95	95
Annual Indicator	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Numerator	117	125	135	154	132
Denominator	117	125	135	154	132
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	95	95	95	95	95

Annual Indicator

Numerator Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators are not required for future year data.

Denominator

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 02

The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 years whose families partner in decision making at all levels and are satisfied with the services they receive. (CSHCN survey)

		<u>An</u>	nual Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		_	65	70	70
Annual Indicator		_	68.1	68.1	68.1
Numerator		_	142,329	142,329	142,329
Denominator		_	209,000	209,000	209,000
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional

Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 **Annual Performance Objective** 70 75 75 75 75

> **Annual Indicator** Denominator

Numerator

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 03 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	o roceive coordinate	nd ongoing compreh	oncive care within a r	nadical home (CSHC	'NI Curvov)
te percent of children with special health care needs age o to 10 wh	O leceive coolumate		Objective and Perfor	•	on Survey)
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective			55	60	
			56.3	56.3	56
			117,667	117,667	117,60
Denominator			209,000	209,000	209,0
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
	2005		Objective and Perfor		2200
Amusal Barfarmanaa Ohiaatiya	2005	2006 65	2007 65	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective		0.5	00	65	
Annual Indicator	Disease fill in a selection	on Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor Denominator and	Appual Indicator
Numerator	not required for fut	le Objectives for the a	dbuve years, municia	W. Denominator and	Alliluai illuluatore
		····· ··· ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	,	
Denominator	not required for fut	ure year data.	,	,	
Denominator	not required for full	ure year data.			
Denominator	not required for full	ure year data.			
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04			or a chile incurance to		
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 he percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh			or public insurance to		
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 he percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh		dequate private and/o	or public insurance to	pay for the services th	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 the percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who urvey)	ose families have a	dequate private and/o Annual o	Objective and Perfor 2002	pay for the services the mance Data 2003	ney need. (CSHC
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh survey) Annual Performance Objective	ose families have a	dequate private and/o Annual o 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 65	pay for the services the mance Data 2003	ney need. (CSHC
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 the percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who urvey) Annual Performance Objective	ose families have a	dequate private and/o Annual o	Objective and Perfor 2002	pay for the services the mance Data 2003	ney need. (CSHC
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 the percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who urvey) Annual Performance Objective	ose families have a	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfor 2002 65	pay for the services the mance Data 2003	ney need. (CSHC
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	ose families have a	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfor 2002 65 67.5	pay for the services the mance Data 2003 70 67.5	2004 67
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 the percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who urvey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	ose families have a	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfor 2002 65 67.5 141,075	pay for the services the mance Data 2003 70 67.5 141,075	2004 67
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 the percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have a	dequate private and/o Annual 6 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 65 67.5 141,075 209,000	pay for the services the mance Data 2003 70 67.5 141,075 209,000 Final	2004 67 141,0 209,0
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have a	Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002 65 67.5 141,075 209,000 Objective and Perfor	pay for the services the mance Data 2003 70 67.5 141,075 209,000 Final	2004 67 141,0° 209,0° Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 the percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who urvey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000	Annual (0bjective and Perfor 2002 65 67.5 141,075 209,000 Objective and Perfor 2007	pay for the services the mance Data 2003 70 67.5 141,075 209,000 Final mance Data 2008	2004 67 141,0° 209,00
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 2005	Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002 65 67.5 141,075 209,000 Objective and Perfor	pay for the services the mance Data 2003 70 67.5 141,075 209,000 Final	2004 67 141,0 209,0 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 2005 70	Annual (2001 Annual (2006 75	0bjective and Perfor 2002 65 67.5 141,075 209,000 Objective and Perfor 2007	pay for the services the mance Data 2003 70 67.5 141,075 209,000 Final mance Data 2008 75	2004 60 141,0 209,0 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000	Annual (2001 Annual (2006 75	0bjective and Perfor 2002 65 67.5 141,075 209,000 Objective and Perfor 2007	pay for the services the mance Data 2003 70 67.5 141,075 209,000 Final mance Data 2008 75	2004 67 141,0 209,0 Provisional

Percormance Objective and Performance Object	PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 05								
Annual Performance Objective 2001 2002 2003 2004 2004 2005 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	Percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whose	families report the o	community-bas	ed service syst	ems are orga	nized so the	ey can use	them easily	. (CSHC
Annual Performance Objective			<u>Ar</u>	nnual Objectiv	e and Perfor	mance Data	<u>a</u>		
Annual Indicator Numerator Services necessary to make transition to all aspects of adult life. (CSHCN Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Objective and Performance Objective Annual Indicator State Objective Annual Objective and Performance Objective Objective Objective and Performance Objective Objective Objective and Performance Objective Objective Objective Objective and Performance Objective Objective Objective Objective on the above years. Numerator, Denominator on Objective Objective Stort the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicator Objective Objective International Objective and Performance Objective Objective Objective Stort the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicator Objective Performance Objective Objective Stort the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicator Objective Performance Objective Objective Stort the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicator Objective Performance Objective Stort the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicator Objective Performance Objective Stort the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicator Objective Performance Objective Stort the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicator Objective Performance Objective Objective Stort the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicator Objective Performance Objective Objective Stort the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicator		2000	2001	2002		2003		2004	
Numerator Denominator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received the services necessary to make transition to all aspects of adult life. (CSHCN Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Polymore Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective In Performance Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 Annual Performance Objective In Performance Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 Annual Performance Objective In Denominator In Indicator Indicator In Indicator In Indicator In Indicator In Indicator In Indicator Indicator In Indicator Indicator In Indicator In Indicator I	Annual Performance Objective				70		75		75
Denominator 209,000 209,000 209,000 209,000 209,000 209,000 209,000 209,000 209,000 200,000	Annual Indicator				70.6		70.6		70.6
Denominator Sthe Data Provisional or Final? Sthe Data Provisional or Final? Provisional	Numerator				147,554		147,554	1	47,554
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Performance Measure # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received the services necessary to make transition to all aspects of adult life. (CSHCN Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Objective and Performance Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Objective and Performance Data 2000 2001 2002 5 10 Annual Objective and Performance Data 2000 2001 5.8 5.8 5.8 5.8 Annual Performance Objective Annual Objective and Performance Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 Annual Objective and Performance Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicator Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicator Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years.	Denominator				209,000	2	209,000	2	09,000
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Performance Measure # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received the services necessary to make transition to all aspects of adult life. (CSHCN Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Sumerator Numerator Denominator Benominator Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Annual Performance Objective Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Numerator Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicator numerator not required for future year data.	Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provisiona	ı
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Performance Measure # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received the services necessary to make transition to all aspects of adult life. (CSHCN Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Sumerator Numerator Denominator Benominator Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Annual Performance Objective Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Numerator Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicator numerator not required for future year data.									
Annual Performance Objective Numerator Denominator Performance MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received the services necessary to make transition to all aspects of adult life. (CSHCN Survey) Annual Deformance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective 10 10 15 15 Pelease fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicator Numerator Description of required for future year data.				=	e and Perfor		<u>a</u>		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received the services necessary to make transition to all aspects of adult life. (CSHCN Survey) Annual Objective and Performance Data	A Destinance Of the fire		2006		90	2008	90	2009	90
Numerator Denominator Performance Measure # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received the services necessary to make transition to all aspects of adult life. (CSHCN Survey) Annual Objective and Performance Data	Annual Performance Objective	/5		/5	80		80		80
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received the services necessary to make transition to all aspects of adult life. (CSHCN Survey) Annual Objective and Performance Data									
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received the services necessary to make transition to all aspects of adult life. (CSHCN Survey) Annual Objective and Performance Data		Please fill in only t	he Ohiectives f	or the above ve	ars Numera	tor Denomi	nator and	Annual Indic	ators ar
The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received the services necessary to make transition to all aspects of adult life. (CSHCN Survey) Annual Objective and Performance Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	Numerator	not required for fut		or the above ye	ears. Numera	tor, Denomii	nator and	Annual Indic	ators are
The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received the services necessary to make transition to all aspects of adult life. (CSHCN Survey) Annual Objective and Performance Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	Numerator	not required for fut		or the above ye	ears. Numera	tor, Denomii	nator and	Annual Indic	ators ar
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicator not required for future year data.	Numerator Denominator	not required for fut		or the above ye	ears. Numera	tor, Denomii	nator and	Annual Indic	ators ar
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 Annual Indicator Numerator Numerator Numerator Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicator not required for future year data.	Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	not required for fut	ture year data.						ators ar
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Numerator Numerator	Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	not required for fut	ture year data.	ransition to all a	spects of adu	ult life. (CSH	ICN Surve		ators are
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Numerator Numerator Numerator	Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	not required for fut the services neces	ture year data. esary to make tr	ransition to all a	spects of adu	ult life. (CSH	ICN Surve	ey)	ators are
Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Objective and Performance Data	PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received	not required for fut the services neces	ture year data. ssary to make tr Au 2001	ransition to all a	spects of adu	ult life. (CSH	ICN Surve	ey)	ators ar
Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009	PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective	not required for fut the services neces	ssary to make tr	ransition to all a	spects of adu e and Perfor 5	ult life. (CSH	ICN Surve a 10	ey)	
Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009	PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for fut the services neces	ssary to make tr 2001	ransition to all a	spects of adu e and Perfor 5	ult life. (CSH	ICN Surve a 10	ey)	10
Annual Performance Objective 10 10 15 15 Annual Indicator Numerator Numerator Numerator	PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	not required for fut the services neces	esary to make tr 2001	ransition to all a	spects of adu e and Perfor 5	ult life. (CSH	ICN Surve a 10	ey)	10
Annual Performance Objective 10 10 15 15 Annual Indicator Numerator Numerator Numerator	PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for fut the services neces	esary to make tr 2001	ransition to all a	spects of adu e and Perfor 5	ult life. (CSH mance Data 2003	ICN Surve a 10	2004	10 5.8
Annual Performance Objective 10 10 15 15 Annual Indicator Numerator Numerator Numerator Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicator not required for future year data.	PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for fut the services neces	esary to make tr 2001	ransition to all a	spects of adu e and Perfor 5	ult life. (CSH mance Data 2003	ICN Surve a 10	2004	10 5.8
Annual Indicator Numerator	PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for fut the services neces	esary to make tr	ransition to all a	e and Perfor 5 5.8	ult life. (CSH mance Date 2003 Final	10 5.8	2004	10 5.8
Numerator Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicator not required for future year data.	PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for fut the services neces 2000	esary to make tr	ransition to all annual Objectiv 2002	e and Perfor	ult life. (CSH mance Date 2003 Final	10 5.8	2004 Provisiona	10 5.8
numerator not required for future year data.	PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for fut the services neces 2000	esary to make tr	ransition to all annual Objectiv 2002	e and Perfor	ult life. (CSH mance Date 2003 Final	10 5.8	2004 Provisiona	10 5.8
Denominator	PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	the services neces 2000 2005 10	esary to make tr 2001 At 2006	ransition to all a nnual Objectiv 2002 nnual Objectiv 2007	e and Perfor	rit life. (CSH mance Data 2003 Final mance Data 2008	10 5.8	2004 Provisiona 2009	10 5.8

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 07 Percent of 19 to 35 month olds who have received full schedule of age	appropriate	e immun	izations a	nainst Meas	sies. Mumps. Rubella	. Polio Diph	itheria. Te	tanus. Pe	ertussis.
aemophilus Influenza, and Hepatitis B.	o other the			.94	,	,,, - ,			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	2200		2004	Annual C	bjective and Perfor		<u>1</u>	2004	
Annual Performance Objective	2000	80	2001	80	2002 80	2003	80	2004	80
Annual Indicator				77.9	80.8		83.3		83.
Numerator		65,832		167,202	178,483	1	184,009		184,00
Denominator		11,520		214,637	220.899		220.899		220,89
Is the Data Provisional or Final?		11,020		L11,00.		Provisiona	-,	Provisio	
				Annual C	bjective and Perfor	mance Data	<u>a</u>		
	2005		2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective		85.1		86	86.9		87.8		9
75 F 1 F 1 F 1 F 1 F 1 F 1 F 1 F 1 F 1 F									
Annual Indicator	Dlasse fill in	in anly th	a Ohiecti	os for the a	hava veers Numera	or Denomin	eator and	^nnual Inc	dicators
	Please fill in	in only th	e Objecti	ves for the a	bove years. Numera	tor, Denomin	nator and ,	Annual Inc	dicators
Numerator Denominator	not required	in only thed for futu	e Objecti [,] ire year d	ves for the a ata.	bove years. Numera	tor, Denomir	nator and ,	Annual Ind	dicators
Numerator	not required	in only thed for futu	e Objecti Ire year d	ves for the a ata.	bove years. Numera	tor, Denomir	nator and .	Annual Ind	dicators
Numerator Denominator	not required	in only the	e Objecti ire year d	ves for the a ata.	bove years. Numera	tor, Denomir	nator and .	Annual Ind	dicators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	not required	in only thed for futu	e Objecti ire year d	ves for the a ata.	bove years. Numera	tor, Denomir	nator and .	Annual Ind	dicators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	not required	in only thed for futu	ıre yéar d	ata.	bjective and Perfor	mance Data			dicators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.	not required	d for futu	e Objecti ire year d	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002	,	<u>a</u>	Annual Inc	
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	not required	ed for futu	ıre yéar d	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002 24.6	mance Data	a 24.6		24.
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000	27.4 23.3	ıre yéar d	Annual C 26 20.9	2002 24.6 20.2	mance Data	24.6 18.2		24. 18.
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective	2000	27.4	ıre yéar d	Annual C 26 20.9 2,298	Objective and Perfor 2002 24.6	mance Data	a 24.6		24. 18.
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000	27.4 23.3	ıre yéar d	Annual C 26 20.9	2002 24.6 20.2	mance Data 2003	24.6 18.2	2004	24. 18. 2,08 114,64
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000	27.4 23.3 2,487	ıre yéar d	Annual C 26 20.9 2,298	2002 24.6 20.2 2,238	mance Data 2003	24.6 18.2 2,085		24. 18. 2,08 114,64
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000	27.4 23.3 2,487	ıre yéar d	Annual C 26 20.9 2,298 110,054	2002 24.6 20.2 2,238 110,810	mance Data 2003 1 Final	24.6 18.2 2,085	2004	24. 18. 2,08 114,64
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000	27.4 23.3 2,487	2001	Annual C 26 20.9 2,298 110,054	2002 24.6 20.2 2,238 110,810	mance Data 2003 1 Final	24.6 18.2 2,085	2004 Provisio	24 18 2,08 114,64
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 10	27.4 23.3 2,487	ıre yéar d	Annual C 26 20.9 2,298 110,054	2002 24.6 20.2 2,238 110,810	mance Data 2003 1 Final	24.6 18.2 2,085	2004	24. 18. 2,08 114,64 onal
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 ne rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 10	27.4 23.3 2,487 06,941	2001	Annual C 26 20.9 2,298 110,054	2002 24.6 20.2 2,238 110,810 2bjective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003 1 Final	24.6 18.2 2,085 114,645	2004 Provisio	24. 18. 2,08 114,64
Rumerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective	2000 100 2005	27.4 23.3 2,487 06,941	2001 2006	Annual C 26 20.9 2,298 110,054 Annual C	2002 24.6 20.2 2,238 110,810 2bjective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003 1 Final mance Data 2008	24.6 18.2 2,085 114,645	2004 Provisio	24 18 2,08 114,64 onal

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 09						
Percent of third grade children who have received protective sealants	on at least one peri	manent mola	ar tooth.			
			Annual O	bjective and Perfor		2004
Annual Barfarmanae Ohiastiva	2000	2001	30	2002 30	2003 30	2004
Annual Performance Objective						
Annual Indicator			23.7	23.7	23.7	
Numerator			17,703	17,703	17,703	
	74,696		74,696	74,696	74,696	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Provisional	Provisional
			Annual O	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	Alliluai	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	30		30	30	30	
Annual Indicator						
	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objective ture year dat	es for the a	bove years. Numera	tor, Denominator an	d Annual Indicato
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ture year dat	a.	,	tor, Denominator an	d Annual Indicato
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only the not required for fut	es per 100,0	000 childre	,		d Annual Indicato
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 ne rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash	es per 100,0	000 childre	n. Dijective and Perfor 2002		d Annual Indicato
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash	es per 100,0	000 childre	n. Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 he rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash 2000 3.5	es per 100,0	000 childre	n. Dijective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash 2000 3.5 2.4	es per 100,0	3.5 3.0 34	n. Objective and Perfor 2002 3.5	mance Data 2003 3.5	2004
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash 2000 3.5	es per 100,0	3.5 3.0 34	n. <u>Objective and Perfor</u> 2002 3.5 3.0	mance Data 2003 3.5 2.9	2004
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash 2000 3.5 2.4 26 1,067,199	es per 100,0	3.5 3.0 34	n. 2002 3.5 3.0 35	mance Data 2003 3.5 2.9	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash 2000 3.5 2.4 26 1,067,199	es per 100,0 2001	3.5 3.0 34 143,763	3.5 3.0 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1	3.5 2.9 33 1,143,353 Final	1,143,3
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crashed as the second secon	es per 100,0 2001 1,7	3.5 3.0 34 143,763	3.5 3.0 3.5 1,149,643	3.5 2.9 33 1,143,353 Final	2004 1,143,3 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash 2000 3.5 2.4 26 1,067,199	es per 100,0 2001 1,7	3.5 3.0 34 143,763	3.5 3.0 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1	3.5 2.9 33 1,143,353 Final	1,143,3 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash 2000 3.5 2.4 26 1,067,199 2005 2.6	es per 100,0 2001 1,7	3.5 3.0 34 143,763	3.5 3.0 3.5 1,149,643	3.5 2.9 33 1,143,353 Final mance Data 2008	1,143, Provisional 2009
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash 2000 3.5 2.4 26 1,067,199 2005 2.6	es per 100,0 2001 1,7	3.5 3.0 34 143,763 Annual O	n. 2002 3.5 3.0 35 1,149,643 20) jective and Perfor 2007	3.5 2.9 33 1,143,353 Final mance Data 2008	1,143, Provisional 2009

ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11					
ercentage of mothers who breastfeed their infants at hospital dischar	rge.				
			al Objective and Perfo		
A constant and the state of the	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective			60 60	60	6
Annual Indicator			.0 61.3	61.0	
Numerator	38,851	37,8	63 37,051	36,422	38,17
Denominator	64,841	62,0	60,399	59,669	60,57
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		A			
	2005	<u>Annı</u> 2006	ual Objective and Perfo 2007	rmance Data 2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective			64 64.5	65	
Annual Indicator					
Annual maiouse					
	Diagon fill in only th	ne Objectives for t	he above years. Numera	ator, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives for t ure year data.	he above years. Numera	ator, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only th not required for futu	ure year data.			d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	Please fill in only th not required for futu	ure year data.	he above years. Numera		d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year data. Annu	ıal Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	2004
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year data. Annu 2001	ual Objective and Perfo 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004 9
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future hospital discharge. 2000	ure year data. Annu 2001	1al Objective and Perfo 2002 80 85 3.7 62.7	rmance Data 2003 90	2004 9 91.
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 25 21.4	Annu 2001 78 54,2	10 42,997	rmance Data 2003 90 93.7	2004 9 91. 64,79
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 25 21.4 14,769 69,152	Annu 2001 78 54,2	10 42,997	rmance Data 2003 90 93.7 66,297	2004 9 91. 64,79
Rumerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 25 21.4 14,769 69,152	Annu 2001 78 54,2	10 42,997	90 93.7 66,297	2004 91. 64,79 71,08
Rumerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future to the not req	Annu 2001 78 54,2 68,9	10 85 85 85 85 85 86 85 86 85 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	rmance Data 2003 90 93.7 66,297 70,783 Final	2004 91. 64,79 71,08 Final
Rumerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 25 21.4 14,769 69,152	Annu 2001 78 54,2 68,9 Annu 2006	10 85 62.7 62.7 62.7 68,529 110 Objective and Perfo	7mance Data 2003 90 93.7 66,297 70,783 Final 7mance Data 2008	2004 91. 64,79 71,08 Final
Rumerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for future to require for future to the not required for	Annu 2001 78 54,2 68,9 Annu 2006	10 85 85 85 85 85 86 85 86 85 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	rmance Data 2003 90 93.7 66,297 70,783 Final	2004 91 64,79 71,08 Final
Rumerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 25 21.4 14,769 69,152 2005	Annu 2001 78 54,2 68,9 Annu 2006	10 85 62.7 62.7 62.7 68,529 110 Objective and Perfo	rmance Data 2003 90 93.7 66,297 70,783 Final rmance Data 2008	2004 91 64,79 71,08 Final
Rumerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future to require for future to the not required for future to the not required for future to the not required for future fo	Annu 2001 78 54,2 68,9 Annu 2006	1al Objective and Perfo 2002 80 85 3.7 62.7 10 42,997 16 68,529 1al Objective and Perfo 2007	rmance Data 2003 90 93.7 66,297 70,783 Final rmance Data 2008	2004 9: 64,7 71,0 Final

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 13						
Percent of children without health insurance.						
				Objective and Perfor		
	2000		2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performano			10	10	10	1
Annu	ual Indicator			10.0	9.6	9.
	Numerator	100,357	124,607	137,992	140,000	140,00
D	Denominator	1,356,172	1,369,311	1,379,925	1,452,879	1,452,87
Is the Data Provision	nal or Final?				Provisional	Provisional
	2005	E	Annual 2006	Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2008	2009
Annual Performano			9.4		9.2	2009
	ual Indicator					
Aillit	Diag	ase fill in only th	e Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
n	Numerator not r	required for futu	ure year data.			
PEDECEMANCE MEASURE # 14						
	e received a servic	ce paid by the N	ŭ	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	e received a servic		ŭ	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
	2000	0	Annual	•		
ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have Annual Performance	2000	0 85	Annual 2001	2002 85	2003	8
Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have Annual Performance	2000 ce Objective	0 85 85.2	Annual 2001	2002 85	2003 85	2004 8 77. 286,39
Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have Annual Performance Annu	2000 ce Objective ual Indicator	85 85.2 333,790	Annual 2001 85 96.3	2002 85 77.3 269,610	2003 85 77.3	286,39
Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have Annual Performance Annu	2000 ce Objective ual Indicator Numerator Denominator	85 85.2 333,790	Annual 2001 85 96.3 315,568	2002 85 77.3 269,610	2003 85 77.3 286,398	77.
Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have Annual Performanc Annu	2000 ce Objective ual Indicator Numerator Denominator	85 85.2 333,790	Annual 2001 85 96.3 315,568	2002 85 77.3 269,610	2003 85 77.3 286,398 370,303	286,38 370,30
Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have Annual Performanc Annu	2000 ce Objective ual Indicator Numerator Denominator nal or Final?	85 85.2 333,790 391,582	Annual 2001 85 96.3 315,568 327,837 Annual	2002 85 77.3 269,610 348,914 Objective and Perform	2003 85 77.3 286,398 370,303 Provisional	286,39 370,30 Provisional
Annu D Is the Data Provision	ce Objective ual Indicator Numerator Denominator nal or Final?	85 85.2 333,790 391,582	Annual 2001 85 96.3 315,568 327,837 Annual 2006	2002 85 77.3 269,610 348,914 Objective and Perfor 2007	2003 85 77.3 286,398 370,303 Provisional mance Data 2008	286,39 370,30 Provisional
Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have Annual Performance Annual D Is the Data Provision	2000 ce Objective ual Indicator Numerator Denominator and or Final? 2005 ce Objective	85 85.2 333,790 391,582	Annual 2001 85 96.3 315,568 327,837 Annual	2002 85 77.3 269,610 348,914 Objective and Perfor 2007	2003 85 77.3 286,398 370,303 Provisional	286,39 370,30 Provisional
Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have Annual Performance Annual D Is the Data Provision	2000 ce Objective ual Indicator Numerator Denominator nal or Final? 2005 ce Objective ual Indicator	85 85.2 333,790 391,582 5	Annual 2001 85 96.3 315,568 327,837 Annual 2006 78	2002 85 77.3 269,610 348,914 Objective and Perfor 2007	2003 85 77.3 286,398 370,303 Provisional mance Data 2008 78	286,39 370,30 Provisional

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 15					
he percent of very low birth weight infants among all live births.					
			al Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		1.	9 1.9	1.	1.
Annual Indicator	1.9	2.	0 1.9	1.5	1.
Numerator	1,388	1,44	6 1,422	1,44	1,44
Denominator	74,226	73,15	2 73,250	74,86	74,86
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annu	al Objective and Perfor	rmance D <u>ata</u>	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	1.6	1.	4 1.3	1.:	2 1.
					
Annual Indicator					
Annual Indicator Numerator	Diagon fill in anhy th	ne Objectives for th	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator a	nd Annual Indicators
	Please fill in only th not required for futu	ne Objectives for thure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator a	nd Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only th not required for futu	ne Objectives for thure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator a	nd Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only th not required for futu	ne Objectives for thure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator a	nd Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	Please fill in only th not required for futu	ne Objectives for thure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator a	nd Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	Please fill in only th not required for futu	ure year data.	e above years. Numera		nd Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	Please fill in only th not required for futu	ure year data.			nd Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	Please fill in only th not required for futu gh 19.	ure year data. Annu	al Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	2004
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through	Please fill in only th not required for futured for fu	Annu	al Objective and Perfor 2002 2 5.2	rmance Data 2003	2004 2 5.
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the company of the com	gh 19. 2000 5.2 7.3	Annu: 2001 5.	al Objective and Perfor 2002 2 5.2	rmance Data 2003 5.	2004 2 5.
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) are (per 100,000).	gh 19. 2000 5.2 7.3	Annu: 2001 5.	2002 2002 2 5.2 4 7.1 7 27	<u>rmance Data</u> 2003 5.	2004 2 5. 2 6. 4 2
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) are (per 100,000).	Please fill in only th not required for futured for fu	Annu: 2001 5.	2002 2002 2 5.2 4 7.1 7 27	rmance Data 2003 5. 6.	2004 2 5 2 6 4 2
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only th not required for futured for fu	Annu: 2001 5. 7. 22 365,15	2002 2 5.2 4 7.1 7 27 3 379,052	7mance Data 2003 5 6 2 386,900 Final	2004 2 5 2 6 4 2 3 386,90
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	Annu. 2001 5. 7. 2365,15	al Objective and Perfor 2002 2	7mance Data 2003 5 6 2 386,900 Final	2004 2 5. 2 6. 4 2 3 386,90 Provisional
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	Annua 2001 5. 2365,15 Annua 2006	2002 2 5.2 4 7.1 7 27 3 379,052	7mance Data 2003 5 6 2 386,900 Final	2004 2 5 2 6 4 2 3 386,90 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	Annu. 2001 5. 7. 2365,15 Annu. 2006 5.	2002 2 5.2 4 7.1 7 27 3 379,052 2 Objective and Performance and Objective and Performance 2007 7 5.6	7mance Data 2003 5 6 2 386,900 Final 7mance Data 2008	2004 2 5 2 6 4 2 3 386,90 Provisional 2009
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	Annu. 2001 5. 7. 2 365,15 Annu. 2006 5.	al Objective and Perfor 2002 2 5.2 4 7.1 7 27 3 379,052 al Objective and Perfor 2007	7mance Data 2003 5 6 2 386,900 Final 7mance Data 2008	2004 2 5 2 6 4 2 3 386,90 Provisional 2009

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 17 Percent of very low birth weight infants delivered at facilities for high-r	isk deliveries and ne	eonates.						
, <u> </u>			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	<u>a</u>		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	90		90	90		90		90
Annual Indicator	86.7		87.0	86.0		87.0		87.
Numerator	1,018		1,080	1,033		1,080		1,08
Denominator	1,174		1,242	1,201		1,242		1,24
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Provisiona	al	Provisio	nal
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	а		
	2005	2006	7	2007	2008	=	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	87.5		88	88.5		89		89.
Denominator	not required for futi	ure year dat	ta.	bove years. Numerat	or, Denomir	nator and	Annual Ind	dicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18	not required for fut	ure year dat	ta.	bove years. Numeral	or, Denomir	nator and	Annual Ind	dicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18	not required for futu	ure year dat	ta.	Objective and Perfor	mance Data			dicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 reent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	not required for future function for future futu	ure year dat	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002		<u>a</u>	2004	
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective	not required for future ginning in the first tr	ure year dat imester. 2001	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002 90	mance Data	a 90		Ş
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	ginning in the first tr	ure year dat imester. 2001	Annual C 90 83.7	2002 90 84.1	mance Data	a 90 83.7		§ 82
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	ginning in the first tr 2000 90 86.4 62,421	imester.	Annual C 90 83.7 59,789	90 84.1 61,603	mance Data	90 83.7 61,834		82 60,23
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	90 86.4 62,421 72,206	imester.	Annual C 90 83.7	2002 90 84.1	mance Data	90 83.7 61,834 73,876	2004	9 82. 60,23 73,23
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	90 86.4 62,421 72,206	imester.	Annual C 90 83.7 59,789	90 84.1 61,603	mance Data	90 83.7 61,834 73,876		9 82 60,23 73,23
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for future ginning in the first tr 2000 90 86.4 62,421 72,206	imester.	90 83.7 59,789 71,425	90 2002 90 84.1 61,603 73,250	mance Data 2003 Provision:	90 83.7 61,834 73,876	2004 Provisio	9 82 60,23 73,23
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	90 86.4 62,421 72,206	imester.	90 83.7 59,789 71,425	90 84.1 61,603 73,250 Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003 Provision:	90 83.7 61,834 73,876 al	2004	9 82. 60,23 73,23
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ercent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	90 86.4 62,421 72,206	imester.	90 83.7 59,789 71,425	90 2002 90 84.1 61,603 73,250	mance Data 2003 Provision:	90 83.7 61,834 73,876	2004 Provisio	82 60,23 73,23
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ercent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	90 86.4 62,421 72,206	imester. 2001 2006 are Objective	90 83.7 59,789 71,425 Annual C	90 84.1 61,603 73,250 Objective and Perfor	Provisiona	90 83.7 61,834 73,876 al	2004 Provisio 2009	82 60,23 73,23 onal

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 1					
Percent of children aged 0-72 months screened for lead poisoning/exp	osure by blood test	ting			
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		16	17	18	1
	17.4		18.2	17.5	17.
Numerator	74,516	76,742	79,507	76,721	76,72
Denominator	427,939	427,939	436,817	437,968	437,96
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					
	2225		Objective and Perfor		2200
Annual Barfarmana Objective	2005	2006 20	2007 20	2008 20	2009
Annual Performance Objective					
Annual Indicator	DI				
Numerator	Please fill in only th	ne Objectives for the	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	not required for futu	ne Objectives for the aure year data.	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	not required for futu	ne Objectives for the aure year data.	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominator	not required for futu	ne Objectives for the a	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2	not required for futu	ure year data.	· 		Annual Indicators
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2	not required for future of the	ure year data. ho used at least one Annual (service during the state	te fiscal year mance Data	
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Percent of women enrolled in the Medical Assistance Family Planning	not required for future with the second with t	ure year data.	service during the stat	te fiscal year mance Data 2003	2004
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2	not required for future with the second with t	ure year data. ho used at least one Annual (service during the state	te fiscal year mance Data	
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Percent of women enrolled in the Medical Assistance Family Planning	waiver Program wh	ho used at least one Annual 6	service during the state	te fiscal year mance Data 2003	2004
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Percent of women enrolled in the Medical Assistance Family Planning Annual Performance Objective	waiver Program wh	ho used at least one Annual (service during the stat Objective and Perfor 2002	te fiscal year mance Data 2003	2004 2 26.
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Percent of women enrolled in the Medical Assistance Family Planning Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	waiver Program wh	ho used at least one Annual (service during the stat Objective and Perfor 2002 23.3	te fiscal year mance Data 2003 25	2004 2 26. 18,09
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Percent of women enrolled in the Medical Assistance Family Planning Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	waiver Program wh	ho used at least one Annual (service during the stat Objective and Perfor 2002 23.3 14,624	te fiscal year mance Data 2003 25 24.2 15,961	2004
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Percent of women enrolled in the Medical Assistance Family Planning Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	waiver Program wh	ho used at least one Annual (service during the stat Objective and Perfor 2002 23.3 14,624	te fiscal year mance Data 2003 25 24.2 15,961 66,053	2004 26. 18,09 69,45
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Percent of women enrolled in the Medical Assistance Family Planning Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	waiver Program wh	ho used at least one Annual (service during the stat Objective and Perfor 2002 23.3 14,624	te fiscal year mance Data 2003 25 24.2 15,961 66,053 Final	2004 26. 18,09 69,45
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Percent of women enrolled in the Medical Assistance Family Planning Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	waiver Program wh	ho used at least one Annual (service during the stat Objective and Perfor 2002 23.3 14,624 62,730	te fiscal year mance Data 2003 25 24.2 15,961 66,053 Final	2004 26. 18,09 69,45
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Percent of women enrolled in the Medical Assistance Family Planning Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	waiver Program wheeled to the second	ho used at least one Annual (service during the stat Objective and Perfor 2002 23.3 14,624 62,730 Objective and Perfor	te fiscal year mance Data 2003 25 24.2 15,961 66,053 Final	2004 26. 18,09 69,45 Provisional
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Percent of women enrolled in the Medical Assistance Family Planning Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	waiver Program wheeler 2000 2005 27	Annual (2006 Annual (2006	service during the stat Objective and Perfor 2002 23.3 14,624 62,730 Objective and Perfor 2007	te fiscal year mance Data 2003 25 24.2 15,961 66,053 Final mance Data 2008 30	2004 26 18,09 69,45 Provisional

sthma mortality rate (per 1,000,000) among children aged 1 - 14					
		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.
Annual Indicato	4.7	3.7	2.8	4.7	4
Numerato	5	4	3	5	
Denominato	1,067,199	1,070,790	1,073,389	1,069,891	1,069,89
Is the Data Provisional or Final	•			Final	
		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4
Annual Indicato					
Numerato	, Please fill in only th	ne Objectives for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominato	not required for fut	ne Objectives for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	not required for fut	ure year data. Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 centage of local jurisdictions addressing the issue of respite for fa	milies of CSHCN	ure year data. Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 centage of local jurisdictions addressing the issue of respite for fa	milies of CSHCN 2000 25	Annual (2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 60	rmance Data 2003 75	2004
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 centage of local jurisdictions addressing the issue of respite for fa Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato	milies of CSHCN 2000 25.0	Annual (2001 50 62.5	Objective and Perfor 2002 60 66.7	70.8	2004
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 centage of local jurisdictions addressing the issue of respite for fa Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato	milies of CSHCN 2000 2 25 2 25.0	Annual 6 2001 50 62.5	Objective and Perfor 2002 60 66.7	70.8	2004
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 centage of local jurisdictions addressing the issue of respite for fa Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	milies of CSHCN 2000 25 25.0 24	Annual 6 2001 50 62.5	Objective and Perfor 2002 60 66.7	70.8 17 24	2004
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 centage of local jurisdictions addressing the issue of respite for fa Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato	milies of CSHCN 2000 25 25.0 24	Annual 6 2001 50 62.5	Objective and Perfor 2002 60 66.7	70.8	
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 centage of local jurisdictions addressing the issue of respite for fa Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	milies of CSHCN 2000 25 25.0 24	Annual (2001 50 62.5 15	Objective and Perfor 2002 60 66.7	75 70.8 17 24	2004
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 centage of local jurisdictions addressing the issue of respite for fa Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	milies of CSHCN 2000 25 25.0 24	Annual (2001 50 62.5 15	2002 60 66.7 16 24	75 70.8 17 24	2004
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercentage of local jurisdictions addressing the issue of respite for fa Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	milies of CSHCN 2000 2	Annual (2001 50 62.5 15 24	0bjective and Perfor 2002 60 66.7 16 24	75 70.8 17 24 Final	2004 66 Provisional
Annual Performance Objective Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Denominato Is the Data Provisional or Final?	milies of CSHCN 2000 25 7 25.0 7 24 2005	Annual (2001 50 62.5 15 24 Annual (2006 75	2002 60 66.7 16 24 Objective and Perfor	75 70.8 17 24 Final 2008 75	2004 66 Provisional

ercent of women who do not smoke during pregnancy.							
		Α	nnual Ol	bjective and Perfor	mance Data		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004
Annual Performance Objective	90%		90	90		90	Ç
Annual Indicator	90.2		91.1	91.9	9	92.2	92
Numerator	66,949	6	6,664	67,338	69,	044	69,04
Denominator	74,226	7	3,152	73,250	74,	865	74,86
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Provisional		Provisional
			nnual Ob	bjective and Perfor			
	2005	2006	02.4	2007	2008		2009
Annual Performance Objective			93.1	93.2		93.3	93
Annual Indicator							
Allitual illulcator		011 11					
	Diamentill in antical	ne Objectives t	for the ab	oove years. Numerat	or, Denominato	r and A	nnual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives t ure year data.	for the ab	pove years. Numerat	or, Denominato	r and A	annual Indicators
	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives t ure year data.	for the ab	oove years. Numerat	or, Denominato	r and A	nnual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives f ure year data.	for the ab	pove years. Numerat	or, Denominato	r and A	nnual Indicators
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives f ure year data.	for the ab	oove years. Numerat	or, Denominato	r and A	nnual Indicators
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ure year data.				r and A	nnual Indicators
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6	Please fill in only the not required for futured for futured for future for f	ure year data.		bjective and Perfor	mance Data	r and A	
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Ingenital syphilis rate in Maryland	Please fill in only the not required for future for future.	ure year data.	nnual Ol	bjective and Perfor 2002			2004
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Ingenital syphilis rate in Maryland Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data.	nnual Ol	bjective and Perfor 2002 40	mance Data 2003	40	2004
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Ingenital syphilis rate in Maryland Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data.	nnual Ol 40 6.8	bjective and Perfor 2002 40 21.8	mance Data 2003	<u>40</u> 16.5	2004
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Ingenital syphilis rate in Maryland Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	A 2001	nnual Ob 40 6.8 5	bjective and Perfor 2002 40 21.8 16	mance Data 2003	40 16.5 12	2004
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Ingenital syphilis rate in Maryland Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 40 21.6 74,226	A 2001	nnual Ol 40 6.8	bjective and Perfor 2002 40 21.8	mance Data 2003 1	40 16.5 12 732	2004 13 175,00
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Ingenital syphilis rate in Maryland Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 40 21.6 74,226	A 2001	nnual Ob 40 6.8 5	bjective and Perfor 2002 40 21.8 16	mance Data 2003	40 16.5 12 732	2004
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 40 21.6 74,226	A 2001	40 6.8 5 3,152	bjective and Perfor 2002 40 21.8 16	mance Data 2003 1 72,	40 16.5 12 732	2004 13 175,00
Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Ingenital syphilis rate in Maryland Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 40 21.6 74,226	A 2001	40 6.8 5 3,152	bjective and Perfor 2002 40 21.8 16 73,250	mance Data 2003 1 72,	40 16.5 12 732	2004 13 175,00
Numerator Denominator FATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Engenital syphilis rate in Maryland Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 40 21.6 16 74,226	2001	40 6.8 5 3,152	bjective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003 1 72, Final mance Data 2008	40 16.5 12 732	2004 13 75,00 Provisional
Numerator Denominator FATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Engenital syphilis rate in Maryland Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 40 21.6 74,226 2005	2001	13.3	bjective and Perfor 2002 40 21.8 16 73,250 bjective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003 1 72, Final mance Data 2008	40 16.5 12 732	2004 13 75,00 Provisional 2009
Annual Performance Objective Annual Performance Objective Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 40 21.6 74,226 2005	2001 A 2006	13.3	2002 40 21.8 16 73,250 bjective and Perfor	mance Data 2003 1 72, Final mance Data 2008	40 16.5 12 732	2004 13 75,0 Provisional 2009

Denominator

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 7					
Percent of fetal, infant and child deaths reviewed by local teams					
			Objective and Perfor		2004
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		20%	22%	24	2
Annual Indicator	13.3	27.1	27.1	33.3	33.
Numerator	205	432	421	526	52
Denominator	1,541	1,592	1,556	1,581	1,58
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional
		Annual (Objective and Perfor	manco Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective		40	45	50	
Annual Indicator					-
	Diamentill in antical	o Objectives for the	. I		
Numorator	riease illi ili olliy ti	ie Objectives for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	not required for futi	ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	not required for futi	ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominator	not required for futi	ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9	not required for futi	ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9	not required for futi	ure year data.	Objective and Perfor		Annual Indicators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9	not required for futi	ure year data.			Annual Indicators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9	not required for future	ure year data. Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 he rate of deaths to children aged 1-4 caused by sickle cell disease	not required for future and the futu	ure year data. Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data	2004
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 he rate of deaths to children aged 1-4 caused by sickle cell disease Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for future and the second secon	Annual 6	Objective and Perfor 2002 4	mance Data 2003	2004
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 the rate of deaths to children aged 1-4 caused by sickle cell disease Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 4 0.0 0	Annual (2001 4 0.0	Objective and Perfor 2002 4 0.0	mance Data 2003 4 0.0	2004
Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 4 0.0 0 214	Annual 6 2001 4 0.0	Objective and Perfor 2002 4 0.0 0	mance Data 2003 4 0.0 0	
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 the rate of deaths to children aged 1-4 caused by sickle cell disease Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 4 0.0 0 214	Annual 6 2001 4 0.0	Objective and Perfor 2002 4 0.0 0	mance Data 2003 4 0.0 0 280	2004
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 he rate of deaths to children aged 1-4 caused by sickle cell disease Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 4 0.0 0 214	Annual (2001 4 0.0 0 239	Objective and Perfor 2002 4 0.0 0	mance Data 2003 4 0.0 0 280 Final	2004
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 ne rate of deaths to children aged 1-4 caused by sickle cell disease Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 4 0.0 0 214	Annual (2001 4 0.0 0 239	Objective and Perfor 2002 4 0.0 0 285	mance Data 2003 4 0.0 0 280 Final	2004
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 ne rate of deaths to children aged 1-4 caused by sickle cell disease Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 4 0.0 0 214	Annual (2001 4 0.0 239	Objective and Perfor 2002 4 0.0 0 285	mance Data 2003 4 0.0 0 280 Final	2004 0 28 Provisional
Annual Performance Objective Annual Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 4 0.0 0 214 2005	Annual (2001 4 0.0 0 239 Annual (2006 4	Objective and Perfor 2002 4 0.0 0 285	mance Data 2003 4 0.0 0 280 Final mance Data 2008 4	2004 0 28 Provisional 2009

Denominator

Data excludes women for whom prenatal care status was unknown.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Newborn screening data is reported on the Calendar Year.

2. Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Newborn screening data is reported by calendar year, CY 2003, to be consistent with the reports to the National Newborn Screening and Genetics Resource Center.

3. Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Newborn screening data is reported by calendar year, CY 2004, to be consistent with the reports to the National Newborn Screening and Genetics Resource Center.

A new performance objective is not formulated. We are not sure if we can sustain our historical strength in this area because of the problems of obtaining data from the commercial laboratory. They do not report all abnormals, only presumptive positives, and they do not report data on all babies, only those born in Maryland hospitals with whom they have a contract. For example, they will not report abnormals, even presumptive positives on home births. While we continue to work with them to improve the situation, we are concerned that the chance for us to miss a baby or lose one between the cracks has increased.

4. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

6. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure

7. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

8. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

9. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

10. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

11. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

12. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

13. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

14. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

15. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

16. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Because only one of the States (Maine) met the NCHS standards for reliability for PM 6, the 2002 indicator is the national average except for Maine which has its State

value noted.

17. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

18. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

19. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: 2001 - National Immunization Survey for children ages 19-35 months, Q3/200-Q2/2001 for 4:3:1:3:3 series

2002 - National Immunization Survey for children ages 19-35 months, Q3/2001-Q2/2002 for 4:3:1:3:3 series

20. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Immunization percentages - National Immunization Survey for children ages 19-35 months, CY 1999-2002 - 4:3:1:3 series; Data for 2003 is provisional and based on Q3/2002-Q2/2003. Population data - Vital Statistics Population estimates. 2003 data is not yet available.

21. Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Maryland Vital Statistics Administration

22. Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data for 2003 is not yet available. Data for 2002 is based on the calendar year.

23. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Survey of Oral Health Status of Maryland School Children conducted during the 2000-2001 school year. This survey is conducted every five years. During the interim years, it is estimated that the percentages remain the same.

24. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Survey of Oral Health Status of Maryland School Children conducted during the 2000-2001 school year. This survey is conducted every five years. During the interim years, it is estimated that the percentages remain the same.

25. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for this indicated is only collected once every five years in Maryland through a survey of school aged children statewide. Updated data should be available for next

year's application.

26. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Maryland Vital Statistics Administration

27. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data for 2003 is not yet available. Data represents calendar year. Source: Maryland Vital Statistics Report, 2002

28. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Maryland Newborn Screening Database. Data is for calendar years 2001 and 2002 and excludes information on 7601 women in 2001 and 10,115 women in 2002 for whom breastfeeding status was not reported.

29. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

This measure is calculated on the calendar year (CY 2003). The data comes from the newborn screening slips and newborn screening data is complied on the calendar year to be consistent with the data reported to the National Newborn Screening and Genetics Resource Center. This excludes 11,864 women for whom breast feeding status was not reported.

30. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

There was a major compliance problem on the part of hospitals and audiologists in terms of reporting to the State. Screening was being done but the results were not being reported to the State. This was first addressed with intensive hospital visiting and improved dramatically when the blood spot newborn screening card was modified to collect the hearing screening data. CY 2002 data is much better (85.7% screened) than FY 2002 data (only 62.7% screened).

31. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Newborn hearing screening data is reported by fiscal year, FY 2004.

A new performance objective is not formulated. Newborn hearing screening data is collected on the blood spot screening lab slip. We are not sure if we can sustain our historical strength in this area because of the problems of obtaining data from the commercial laboratory. They do not report data on all babies, only those born in Maryland hospitals with whom they have a contract. For example, they will not report on home births. While we continue to work with them to improve the situation, we are concerned that the chance for us to miss a baby or lose one between the cracks has increased.

32. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: 2001 Current Population Survey, U. S. Census Bureau.

33. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name:

Column Name: Year: 2003

Field Note:

Source: Maryland Health Care Commission, Health Insurance Coverage in Maryland through 2002. Based on Current Population data for 2001-2002 for children ages 0-19. Percentage applied to children ages 0-17. Data for 2003 is not available.

34. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Maryalnd Medical Assistance Program data for federal fiscal years 2001 and 2002. Includes SCHP coverage groups and covers children under the age of 20.

35. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Maryland Medical Assistance Program,. Data is for the Federal Fiscal Year.

36. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Maryland Vital Statistics Administration. Data for 2002 will not be available until late Fall 2003.

37. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data for 2003 is not yet available. Data for 2002 represents the calendar year. Source: Vital Statistics Administration

38. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Maryland Vital Statistics Administration. Data is for the calendar year. Data for 2002 will not be available until Fall 2003.

39. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data for 2003 is not yet available. Data for 2002 represents the calendar year. Source: Vital Statistics Administration

40. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Maryland Vital Statistics data for calendar year 2001. Data for 2002 will not be available until Fall 2003.

41. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Maryland Vital Statistics Administration. Data for 2003 is not available. Includes Maryland resident recorded events only.

42. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Maryland Vital Statistics Administration. Data is for the calendar year and excludes births for whom prenatal care status was unknown.

43. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data for 2003 is not yet available. Data represents the calendar year. Excludes births for which timing of prenatal care was unknown. Source: Vital Statistics Report, 2002.

44. Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Maryland Department of Environment Blood Lead Surveillance Report 2001. Data is for the calendar year. Data for 2002 will be available in late Fall 2003.

45. Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003

Field Note:
Source: 2003 Annual Surveillance Report, Maryland Childhood Lead Registry, Maryland Department of the Environment. Data for 2004 is not available.

46. Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Source: Data is not available for 2004.

47. Section Number: State Performance Measure #2

Field Name: SM2 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Maryland Vital Statistics Administration. Data is for Federal Fiscal Year 2002. Data for the previous years is not available.

48. Section Number: State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Maryland Vital Statistics Administration. Data is for the calendar year.

49. Section Number: State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Maryland Vital Statistics Report, 2002. Data for 2003 is not available.

50. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

In FY 2002, 15 of 24 jurisdictions provided direct respite services and one engaged in a respite provider capacity building project. In FY 2003, 15 jurisdictions provided direct respite services and 2 began needs assessment.

51. Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Maryland Vital Statistics Administration. Data is for the calendar year. 2002 data will be available in Fall 2003. Maryland PRAMS data will also soon be available for

monitoring this measure.

52. Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Maryland Vital Statistics Administration. Data for 2003 is not yet available.

53. Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Source: Maryland Vital Statisics Administration. Data is not yet available for 2004.

54. Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Maryland Division of Sexually Transmitted Diseases. Data is for the calendar year. The number of live births for 2002 is preliminary.

Section Number: State Performance Measure #7

Field Name: SM7 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Numerator obtained from data collected from FIMR and CFR Committees in Maryland by the Center for Maternal and Child Health. Denominator includes fetal, infant and child deaths.

56. Section Number: State Performance Measure #7

Field Name: SM7 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Child Fatality Review and Fetal and Infant Mortality Review Reports for 2002. 2003 data is not yet available.

57. Section Number: State Performance Measure #9

Field Name: SM9 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

This is the correct data. There were 280 children with sickle cell disease between the ages of 1 and 4 in FY 03 and none of them died. So the death rate is 0 (zero). We have been very fortunate in Maryland in being able to dramatically reduce deaths from sickle cell disease in young children. However, there was one death in FY 04, so the numerator isn't always going to be 0 and the death rate isn't always going to be 0.

58. Section Number: State Performance Measure #9

Field Name: SM9 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A new performance measure is not formulated because all deaths from sickle cell disease related causes cannot be prevented, no matter how good your program is. Infections preventable with penicillin prophylaxis or vaccines can be prevented almost entirely with a very aggressive follow up program like ours. However, infections with resistant or unusual organisms are not preventable, although they can be kept to a minimum by parent education, and by assuring that each child has regular primary and subspecialty care and that providers use standard of care protocols. In 1997, there were several deaths during an upsurge in penicillin resistant organisms. At present, we may be seeing an increase in infection by an unusual pathogen, not grown well in culture. There may be additional deaths of this type. These deaths are not due to poor performance in the program. Also, deaths from strokes can reduced, but not entirely prevented, by parent education, regular primary and subspecialty care, transcranial Doppler to identify high risk patients and transfusion protocols for at risk patients.

FORM 12 TRACKING HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES [SECS 505 (A)(2)(B)(III) AND 506 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: MD

•			Annual ∩	bjective and Perfo	rmance Da	ta		
	2000	2001	Aillidai O	2002	2003	<u>ta</u>	2004	
Annual Performance Objectiv	e 8.4		8.4	8.4		8.4		8.
Annual Indicato	r7.4		7.9	7.6		8.1		8
Numerato	r 550		581	556		610		63
Denominato	r 74,226		73,152	73,250		74,865		74,50
Is the Data Provisional or Final	?				Final		Provisiona	al
			Annual O	bjective and Perfo		<u>ta</u>		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	• 83		8.2	8.2		8		
Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	r Please fill in only to not required for fu	h - Ohi#:		bove years. Numera			Annual Indic	cators
Annual Indicato Numerato	r Please fill in only not required for fu r	h - Ohi#:					Annual Indic	cators
Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	r Please fill in only in ont required for further for further for further factors and for further further further for further	he Objectiv ture year da	ves for the a ata.	bove years. Numera	ator, Denom	inator and		cators
Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality	r Please fill in only r not required for fur r	h - Ohi#:	ves for the a ata.	Objective and Perfo	ator, Denom	ta	Annual Indic	
Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective	r Please fill in only r not required for fur rate.	he Objectiv ture year da	ves for the a ata. Annual O	Objective and Perfo	ator, Denom	ta		2
Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato COME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality	r Please fill in only r not required for fur rate. 2000 e 2.5	he Objectiv ture year da	Annual O	Objective and Perfo 2002 2.5	ator, Denom	ta 2.5		2
Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objectiv Annual Indicato	r Please fill in only r not required for fur rate.	he Objectiv ture year da	Annual O 2.5 2.4 13.4	Objective and Perfo	ator, Denom	ta		2
Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objectiv Annual Indicato	r Please fill in only r not required for fur rate. 2000 e 2.5 r 2.8	he Objectiv ture year da	Annual O	Objective and Perfo 2002 2.5	rmance Da	ta 2.5	2004	2 2 14
Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objectiv Annual Indicato Numerato	r Please fill in only r not required for fur rate. 2000 e 2.5 r 2.8 r 4.7	he Objectiv ture year da	Annual O 2.5 2.4 13.4	Objective and Perfo 2002 2.5 2.4	ator, Denom	2.5 2.7		2 2 14
Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objectiv Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	r Please fill in only r not required for fur rate. 2000 e 2.5 r 2.8 r 4.7	he Objectiv ture year da	Annual O 2.5 2.4 13.4 5.5	Objective and Perfo 2002 2.5 2.4 12.7	rmance Da 2003 Final	2.5 2.7 14.7 5.4	2004	2 2 14
Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objectiv Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	r Please fill in only r not required for fur rate. 2000 e 2.5 r 2.8 r 4.7	he Objectiv ture year da	Annual O 2.5 2.4 13.4 5.5	Objective and Perfo 2002 2.5 2.4	rmance Da 2003 Final	2.5 2.7 14.7 5.4	2004	2 2 14 5

OUTCOME MEASURE # 03					
he neonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.					
			al Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	6		6 6	6	
Annual Indicator	5.5	5.5	9 5.4	5.8	6.
Numerator	407	430	0 399	435	45
Denominator	74,226	73,15	2 73,250	74,865	74,50
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
	2005		al Objective and Perfor		2200
Annual Barfarrana Ohisativa		2006	2007 6 6	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective			6 6	6	
A I by Parker					
Annual Indicator	Diamentillin and other	e Ohiectives for th	e ahove vears. Numera	tor Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives for th ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives for th ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for futured for futured for future for f	ure year data. Annua	al Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	Please fill in only the not required for future for future.	ure year data. Annua 2001	al Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for future for future.	ure year data. Annua 2001	al Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data	2004
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data. Annua 2001	al Objective and Perfor 2002 3 2.3	rmance Data 2003	
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annua 2001	al Objective and Perfor 2002 3 2.3	mance Data 2003 2.3	2004
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 2.3 1.9	Annua 2001 2.	al Objective and Perfor 2002 3 2.3 1 2.1 1 157	2003 2.3	2004
Numerator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 2.3 1.9 143 74,226	Annua 2001 2.: 2.15	al Objective and Perfor 2002 3 2.3 1 2.1 1 157	2003 2.3 2.3 175	2004 2
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2.3 1.9 143 74,226	Annua 2001 2.3 2.5 73,153	al Objective and Perfor 2002 3 2.3 1 2.1 1 157 2 73,250	2.3 2.3 2.3 175 74,865 Final	2004 2. 2. 18 74,50
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2.3 1.9 143 74,226	Annua 2001 2.3 2.5 73,153	al Objective and Perfor 2002 3 2.3 1 2.1 1 157	2.3 2.3 2.3 175 74,865 Final	2004 2. 2. 18 74,50 Provisional
Numerator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 2.3 1.9 143 74,226	Annua 2001 2.:	al Objective and Perfor 2002 3	2.3 2.3 2.3 175 74,865 Final	2004 2 2 18 74,50 Provisional
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2.3 1.9 143 74,226	Annua 2001 2.: 2. 15 73,15: Annua 2006	al Objective and Perfor 2002 3	2.3 2.3 2.3 175 74,865 Final Tmance Data 2008 2.3	2004 2. 18 74,50 Provisional 2009
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 2.3 1.9 143 74,226	Annua 2001 2. 2. 15 73,15: Annua 2006 2.	al Objective and Perfor 2002 3	2.3 2.3 2.3 175 74,865 Final Tmance Data 2008 2.3	2004 2 18 74,50 Provisional

Denominator

OUTCOME MEASURE # 05					
he perinatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births plus fetal deaths.					
		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1
Annual Indicator	8.8	8.1	8.3	8.2	8.2
Numerator	658	594	609	621	62
Denominator	74,884	73,152	73,250	75,502	75,50
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional
		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.
	not required for futu	ure year data.	,	ior, Dorrommator and	7 milati maioatore
UTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futu	ure year data.			7 militar maisarore
UTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futu		Dbjective and Perfor		7 mad malador
UTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for future and the second secon		Objective and Perfor 2002		2004
UTCOME MEASURE # 06	2000	Annual C	•	mance Data	2004
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.	2000 22.5	<u>Annual (</u> 2001	2002	mance Data 2003	2004
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective	2000 22.5 20.3	Annual C 2001 22.5	2002	mance Data 2003 22.5	2004 22. 19.
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 22.5 20.3	Annual C 2001 22.5 21.7 232	2002 22.5 19.6	mance Data 2003 22.5 19.3	2004 22. 19.
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 22.5 20.3 217 1,067,199	Annual C 2001 22.5 21.7 232	2002 22.5 19.6 210	mance Data 2003 22.5 19.3 206	2004 22. 19.
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 22.5 20.3 217 1,067,199	Annual C 2001 22.5 21.7 232 1,070,790	22.5 19.6 210 1,073,389	mance Data 2003 22.5 19.3 206 1,069,891 Final	2004 22. 19. 20 1,068,89
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 De child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 22.5 20.3 217 1,067,199	Annual C 2001 22.5 21.7 232 1,070,790	2002 22.5 19.6 210	mance Data 2003 22.5 19.3 206 1,069,891 Final	22. 19. 20 1,068,89
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 De child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 22.5 20.3 217 1,067,199	Annual C 2001 22.5 21.7 232 1,070,790 Annual C	2002 22.5 19.6 210 1,073,389 Objective and Perform	mance Data 2003 22.5 19.3 206 1,069,891 Final	2004 22. 19. 20 1,068,89 Provisional
DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 22.5 20.3 217 1,067,199 2005	Annual (2001) 22.5 21.7 232 1,070,790 Annual (2006) 22.5	22.5 19.6 210 1,073,389 Dbjective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003 22.5 19.3 206 1,069,891 Final mance Data 2008	2004 22 19 2008 Provisional 2009

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CSHCN PROGRAMS STATE: MD 1. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces and are offering training, mentoring, and reimbursement, when appropriate. 3 2. Financial support (financial grants, technical assistance, travel, and child care) is offered for parent activities or parent groups. 2 3. Family members are involved in the Children with Special Health Care Needs elements of the MCH Block Grant Application process. 2 4. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN staff and providers. 3 5. Family members hired as paid staff or consultants to the State CSHCN program (a family member is hired for his or her expertise as a family member). 3 6. Family members of diverse cultures are involved in all of the above activities. 2 Total Score: _______15 Rating Key 0 = Not Met 1 = Partially Met 2 = Mostly Met

3 = Completely Met

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

 Section Number: Main Field Name: Question1

Row Name: #1. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces...

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Family members are, by statute, represented on our Advisory Councils. They are reimbursed for Council related expenses- mostly travel. The Advisory Council on Hereditary and Congenital Disorders is dominated by "consumers". Over the years parents (and affected young adults) of most ethnic and racial groups have been represented. The Advisory Council for the Program to Identify Hearing Impaired Infants has one parent slot but, in practice, several other professional slots are almost always occupied by parents. Over the years parents (and affected young adults) of most ethnic and racial groups have been represented. One slot is reserved for a representative from the Maryland Association for the Deaf.

2. Section Number: Main Field Name: Question2

Row Name: #2. Financial support (...) is offered for parent activities or parent groups.

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Financial support is offered to parents for special activities such as attending a "professional" meeting as part of a Maryland team, attending a parent conference, and serving on the advisory committee for a special project. As stated in the note for question 1, parents on statutory advisory committee are reimbursed for travel and expenses but not paid for their time.

Section Number: Main Field Name: Question3

Row Name: #3. Family members are involved in the Children with Special Health Care Needs...

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

There are 2 parents of CSHCN on the Office for Genetics and CSHCN staff. These 2 parents of CSHCN and our colleagues at Parent's Place are intimately involved in block grant preparation. The OGCSHCN has an increasingly close working relationship with Parent's Place because we have several grants together- Medical Home Wokgroup funded by Champions for Progress and a Medical Home Learning Collaborative II sponsored NICHQ. Parents from other support groups are involved and consulted on issues specific to their special interest. The Hemophilia Foundation of Maryland and the Chesapeake- Potomac Spina Bifida Association were particularly active in the needs assessment process. The Chesapeake Sickle Cell Disease Support Group has been intimately involved in our efforts to assist adolescents with sickle cell disease with their transition to the adult care system.

Section Number: Main Field Name: Question4

Row Name: #4. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN staff and providers.

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The Director of the OGCSHCN, who is a physician, teaches medical and graduate students at all the medical schools in the State and at several in Washington, DC. She also teaches at university medical school affiliated teaching hospitals. This audience is mostly residents and fellows. Most lectures are co-taught with affected individuals and parents of affected individuals. Several OGCSHCN staff members give Grand Rounds at tertiary and community hospitals in this fashion and this reaches teaching hospital faculty and community health professionals and hospital staff. The Maryland Alliance of PKU Families has been involved in the production of our new video explaining newborn screening. Two parents from the CF Foundation are on the committee to develop provider (and parent) educational materials on CF newborn screening. We hope to start screening for CF in the next year.

5. Section Number: Main Field Name: Question5

Row Name: #5. Family members hired as paid staff or consultants to the State CSHCN program...

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Two parents of CSHCN work as professionals in the OGCSHCN. The OGCSHCN partially supports Parent's Place of Maryland through grants and pays the 6 regional parent representatives around the state who help other parents in the community and assist the OGCSHCN to assess and then meet the needs of the population. The parent representatives are an ethnically and racially diverse group.

Section Number: Main Field Name: Question6

Row Name: #6. Family members of diverse cultures are involved in all of the above activities

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Not all segments of the population can be "on board" at the same time. because of the limited number of positions. Over time, most racial and ethnic groups have been represented, however, Asian groups are under -represented on some councils and committees.

FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS

[Sec. 505(a)(5)]

STATE: MD FY: 2006

Your State's 5-year Needs Assessment should identify the need for preventive and primary care services for pregnant women, mothers, and infants; preventive and primary care services for children and services for Children with Special Health Care Needs. With each year's Block Grant application, provide a list (whether or not the priority needs change) of the top maternal and child health needs in your state. Using simple sentence or phrase ,list below your State's needs. Examples of such statements are: "To reduce the barriers to the delivery of care for pregnant women, " and "The infant mortality rate for minorities should be reduced."

MCHB will capture annually every State's top 7 to 10 priority needs in an information system for comparison, tracking, and reporting purposes; you must list at least 7 and no more than 10. Note that the numbers listed below are for computer tracking only and are not meant to indicate priority order. If your State wishes to report more than 10 priority needs, list additional priority needs in a note at the form level.

- 1. To eliminate racial and ethnic disparities in maternal and child health.
- 2. To promote healthy pregnancies and healthy pregnancy outcomes.
- 3. To promote optimal family functioning.
- 4. To promote healthy children.
- 5. To promote healthy adolescents and young adults.
- 6. To promote healthy nutrition and physical activity across the lifespan.
- 7. To improve systems of care for children with special health care needs.
- 8. To improve systems of care for women, children and families by strengthening the infrastructure for maternal and child health services and activities.
- 9.
- 10.

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE(TA) REQUEST

STATE: MD APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

No.	Category of Technical Assistance Requested	Description of Technical Assistance Requested (max 250 characters)	Reason(s) Why Assistance Is Needed (max 250 characters)	What State, Organization or Individual Would You suggest Provide the TA (if known) (max 250 characters)
	Other If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Maryland is not submitting a technical assistance request at this time.	N/A	N/A
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
3.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
4.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
5.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
7.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the			

measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: MD

SP#

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of children aged 0-72 months screened for lead poisoning/exposure by blood testing

STATUS:

GOAL Increase number of children under 72 months who receive blood lead screening by blood test.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of children under 72 months tested for blood lead in Maryland.

Number of children in Maryland under 72 months.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES Maryland Department of the Environment Childhood Lead Registry.

Children with elevated blood lead levels are at increased risk for behavioral, physiologic and learning problems including, but not limited to, lethargy, diminished ability to learn, various central nervous system disorders, convulsions and even SIGNIFICANCE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of women enrolled in the Medical Assistance Family Planning Waiver Program who used at least one service during

the state fiscal year

STATUS: Active

GOAL To reduce the number of unintended pregnancies

DEFINITION Percent of women enrolled in the Medical Assistance Family Planning Waiver Program who used at least one service during

the state fiscal year.

Numerator:

Number of M.A. women enrolled in the Family Planning Program who use at least one service

Denominator:

Number of women enrolled in the Medical Assistance Family Planning Program

Units: 100 Text: 1

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Maryland Medical Assistance Program

SIGNIFICANCE

Family planning is an important public health strategy for reducing unintended pregnancies and improving pregnancy outcomes. Improving access to and use of quality family planning services is an important goal of Maryland's MCH Program. Financial access to family planning services is offered to eligible low-income women through the Medical Assistance Program. Both the MCH and Medical Assistance Programs are working to ensure that women and families are aware of the availability of family planning services and its role in reducing unwanted pregnancies and improving birth

outcomes.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Asthma mortality rate (per 1,000,000) among children aged 1 - 14

STATUS: Active

GOAL To reduce morbidity and mortality from asthma

DEFINITION

Numerator:Number of deaths due to Asthma among children aged 1 - 14

Denominator: Number of children aged 1 - 14 Units: 1000000 Text: Rate per 10,000

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Maryland Division of Vital Statistics reporting system.

SIGNIFICANCE

Asthma is a common chronic disorder among children and youth. Asthma is of interest to the public health community because it is a disease that may be prevented or ameliorated with appropriate intervention. This is an important measure of primary care utilization and quality of collaborative, comprehensive services.

Percentage of local jurisdictions addressing the issue of respite for families of CSHCN **PERFORMANCE MEASURE:**

STATUS: Active

GOAL To increase access to respite services by all families of CSHCN in the State

DEFINITION

Numerator: Number of local health departments offering respite services

Denominator:

Number of local health departments

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

The Office for Genetics and CSHCN will supply data for this measure. Other measures to be explored include: Number of CSHCN grants to local jurisdictions Number of respite providers trained Number of families receiving respite services **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

A number of needs assessments through local health departments, Infants and Toddlers programs, health providers and parent groups have identified respite care as the most important unmet service need for families of CSHCN. **SIGNIFICANCE**

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of women who do not smoke during pregnancy.

STATUS: Active

To increase the percentage of women who do not smoke during pregnancy

GOAL

DEFINITION

Numerator: The numbers of women who report not smoking during pregnancy

Denominator:

The number of live births Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

Division of Health Statistics - vital statistics. Birth certificate data in Maryland includes maternal smoking during pregnancy. A Kappa statistic analysis shows the correlation with the medical chart on smoking to be 0.7 (substantial agreement). However, smoking history on prenatal record may not be accurate reflection of true history. **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

Smoking is the main known preventable cause of low birth weight and perinatal death. A smoking cessation program **SIGNIFICANCE**

specifically for pregnant women would increase smoking cessation and increase acknowledgment of smoking by women

during pregnancy.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Congenital syphilis rate in Maryland

STATUS: Active

GOAL To reduce the rate of congenital syphilis in Maryland

DEFINITION

Numerator: The number of infants born with congenital syphilis in Maryland

Denominator: The number of live births in Maryland Units: 100000 Text: Rate per 100,000

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

DHMH - STD Division

SIGNIFICANCE

The rate of congenital syphilis, a cause of stillbirths, birth defects, and developmental delays, in Baltimore is 10 times the national average. The rate of syphilis in Baltimore is the highest in the nation. Congenital syphilis is entirely preventable if it is diagnosed and treated early enough during pregnancy.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of fetal, infant and child deaths reviewed by local teams

STATUS: Active

GOAL To prevent fetal, infant and child deaths

DEFINITION

Numerator:Number of fetal, infant and child deaths reviewed by local teams

Denominator:

Number of fetal, infant and child deaths in Maryland

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Maryland FIMR and CFR reporting systems

SIGNIFICANCE

Fetal, Infant and Child Death Review is a systematic, multiagency, multidisciplinary review of all unexpected deaths within a jurisdiction. This is a system that examines fatalities within the context of prevention. Detecting and preventing child abuse and neglect remain a major focus of the child fatality reviews. Fetal and Infant mortality reviews provide a community based, action oriented process that leads to improvement in the health and well being of women, infants and families.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The rate of deaths to children aged 1-4 caused by sickle cell disease

Active STATUS:

GOAL

To reduce mortality among children ages 1-4 with sickle cell disease

DEFINITION The number of deaths from sickle cell disease among children in the program is calculated by: 1) reviewing all program records of children between 1 and 4 years of age in the program to list known deaths, 2)running the database of children in

the program, 1 to 4 years of age, against the death tapes in the Vital Statistics Administration, 3) checking all deaths of children 1 to 4 years of age in the death tapes for which sickle cell disease is listed as the primary or contributory cause of death to look for children in the program. A death stays in the numerator until the child would have been 4 years old. The

demoninator is a rolling denominator including all children in the program born in the 3 years.

Numerator:

Number of deaths due to sickle cell disease among children between the ages of 1-4

Denominator:

Number of children with sickle cell disease between the ages of 1-4

Units: 100 Text: 1

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

CORN reports, MA files, program files, death certificates

SIGNIFICANCE

Sickle cell disease is a risk factor for early mortality. Identifying infants with sickle cell disease through newborn screening, entering them into a program of comprehensive care, case management and other supportive and enabling services can

reduce the excess mortality in this high rate group.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATORS FORMS FOR HSCI 01 THROUGH 04, 07 & 08 - MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: MD

HEALTH SYSTEMS C	CAPACITY	MEASURE # 01
-------------------------	----------	--------------

The rate of children hospitalized for asthma (ICD-9 Codes: 493.0 -493.9) per 10,000 children less than five years of age.

	Annual Indicator Data						
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004		
Annual Indicator	40.4	46.5	37.2	45.0	45.0		
Numerator	1,429	1,658	1,361	1,641	1,641		
Denominator	353,393	356,302	365,545	364,507	364,507		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional		

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 02

The percent Medicaid enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one initial periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data						
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004		
Annual Indicator	82.8	88.7	89.7	90.6	85.7		
Numerator	9,791	25,662	27,264	28,794	27,838		
Denominator	11,830	28,941	30,397	31,778	32,491		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional		

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 03

The percent State Childrens Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data						
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004		
Annual Indicator	86.5	43.8	58.8	91.4	73.3		
Numerator	1,113	266	320	361	211		
Denominator	1,286	608	544	395	288		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional		

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 04

Is the Data

The percent of women (15 through 44) with a live birth during the reporting year whose observed to expected prenatal visits are greater than or equal to 80 percent on the Kotelchuck Index.

	Annual Indicator Data							
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004			
Annual Indicator	NaN	NaN	100.0	100.0	100.0			
Numerator	0	0	1	1	1			
Denominator	0	0	1	1	1			
Provisional or Final?	,			Provisional	Provisional			

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 07 The percent of EPSDT eligible children aged 6 through 9 years who have received any dental services during the year. **Annual Indicator Data** 2000 2001 2004 2002 2003 31.6 37.2 38.2 48.0 48.7 **Annual Indicator** 12,862 15,727 20,819 26,593 28,071 Numerator 40,746 42,304 54,486 57,589 55,425 Denominator

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

The percent of State SSI beneficiaries less than 16 years old receiving rehabilitative services from the State Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) Program.

	Annual Indicator Data				
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Numerator	5	3	0	0	0
Denominator	13,380	13,610	14,040	14,039	12,246
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

Provisional

Provisional

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data for 2002 is not available.

2. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Discharges - Maryland Health Services Cost Review Commission Discharge Database. Population - Vital Statistics Administration. Data for 2003 is not available.

3. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Maryland Medical Assistance Program. Data is for the federal fiscal year and based on age on the last day of the fiscal year. Data was not available for 1998.

4. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Maryland Medical Assistance Program. Data refers to Federal Fiscal year.

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Maryland Medical Assistance Program. Data is for the federal fiscal year and is based on child's age on the last day of the fiscal year. Data for 1998 was not

available

6. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Maryland Medical Assistance Program. Data refers to Federal Fiscal Year.

7. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data from the Vital Statistics Administration was requested but was not provided because of concerns about the formula used to calculate the Kotelchuck Index. The formula defines the first trimester of pregnancy as months one through four. Months one to three is the traditional definition of the first trimester.

8. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data from the Vital Statistics Administration was requested but was not provided because of concerns about the formula used to calculate the Kotelchuck Index. The formula defines the first trimester of pregnancy as months one through four. Months one to three is the traditional definition of the first trimester.

9. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Maryland Medical Assistance Program. Data for 1998 and 2002 were not available. Data in the 1998 column is for state fiscal year 1997. These data are for all children enrolled in fee for service Medicaid during the state fiscal year preceding implementation of the mandatory managed care program, HealthChoice. Data for calendar years 1999-2001 are for all HealthChoice children, including SCHIP, who were enrolled in any MCO for 320 days during the year. Calendar year data provided for 1999-2001.

10. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Maryland Medical Assistance Program. Data is for calendar year. Data for CY 2003 is provisional and not complete.

11. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name:

Year: 2003
Field Note:
The program will not accept 0 as the indicator but this is correct. We do not want to have our CSHCN program pay for care for children with SSI. They should be receiving care through Medicaid.

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #05 (MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON) STATE: MD

INDICATOR #05 Comparison of health system capacity			POPULATION		
indicators for Medicaid, non-Medicaid, and all MCH populations in the State	YEAR	DATA SOURCE	MEDICAID	NON-MEDICAID	ALL
a) Percent of low birth weight (< 2,500 grams)	2003	Payment source from birth certificate	11.2	8	9.1
b) Infant deaths per 1,000 live births	2003	Payment source from birth certificate	10.1	6.2	8.1
c) Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester	2003	Payment source from birth certificate	69	90.2	83.7
d) Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care(observed to expected prenatal visits is greater than or equal to 80% [Kotelchuck Index])	2003	Other	0	0	0

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: MD			
INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's Medicaid programs for infants (0 to 1), children, Medicaid and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL MEDICAID (Valid range: 100-300 percent)	
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2004	185	
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 19) (Age range to) (Age range to)	2004	100	
c) Pregnant Women	2004	<u>185</u>	

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: MD			
INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's SCHIP programs for infants (0 to 1), children, SCHIP and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL SCHIP	
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2004	300	
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 19) (Age range to) (Age range to)	2004	300	
c) Pregnant Women	2004	250	

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: CareFirstTrimester

Row Name: Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester

Column Name: Field Note:

Excludes data from 6,780 births for whom Medicaid status was not determined.

Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: AdequateCare

Row Name: Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data was requested from the Vital Statistics Administration for this measure but was not provided because of concerns about the formula used to calculate the Kotelchuck Index. The formula defines the first trimester of pregnancy as months one through four. Months one to three is the traditional definition of the first trimester.

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: MD

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09A (General MCH Data Capacity)
(The Ability of the State to Assure MCH Program Access to Policy and Program Relevant Informatioin)

DATABASES OR SURVEYS	Does your MCH program have the ability to obtain data for program planning or policy purposes in a timely manner? (Select 1 - 3) *	Does your MCH program have Direct access to the electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
ANNUAL DATA LINKAGES Annual linkage of infant birth and infant death certificates	3	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and Medicaid Eligibility or Paid Claims Files	2	No
Annual linkage of birth certificates and WIC eligibility files	1	No
Annual linkage of birth certificates and newborn screening files	3	Yes
REGISTRIES AND SURVEYS Hospital discharge survey for at least 90% of in-State discharges	3	Yes
Annual birth defects surveillance system	3	Yes
Survey of recent mothers at least every two years (like PRAMS)	3	Yes

- 1 = No, the MCH agency does not have this ability.
 2 = Yes, the MCH agency sometimes has this ability, but not on a consistent basis.
 3 = Yes, the MCH agency always has this ability.

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: MD

DATA SOURCES	Does your state participate in the YRBS survey? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to the state YRBS database for analysis? (Select Y/N)	
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	3	No	
Other: Maryland Tobacco Survey	3	No	
Maryland Adolescent Survey	3	No	

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09C (Data Capacity) Overweight/Obesity (The Ability of the State to Determine the Percent of Children Who are Obese or Overweight)

Data Source	Does your state participate in this survey/data source? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to this electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	3	No
Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS)	3	No
WIC Program Data	3	No
Other: BRFSS	3	Yes

*Where:

- 1 No
- 2 = Yes, the State participates but the sample size is <u>not</u> large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.
- 3 = Yes, the State participates and the sample size is large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.

Notes:

1. HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09B was formerly reported as Developmental Health Status Indicator #05.

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 19

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

 Section Number: Indicator 09B Field Name: YRBSS_09B

Row Name: Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Maryland will begin implementation of components of the YRBS in the spring of 2005. The Maryland Department of Education has lead responsibility for data collection and analysis.

2. Section Number: Indicator 09C Field Name: Other1_09C Row Name: Other Column Name: Year: 2006

Column Name:
Year: 2006
Field Note:
The 2004 Maryland BRFSS survey asks parents questions about the height and weight of children in their households.

FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS #01-#05 MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: MD

	3	DIAIE: I	MD					
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01A								
he percent of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams.								
				Annual Indicator I	<u>Data</u>			
	2000		2001	2002	2003		2004	
Annual Indicator		8.7	9.0	9.0		9.1		9.1
Numerator	r6	5,429	6,605	6,623		6,825		6,825
Denominator	r74	,226	73,152	73,250		74,865		74,865
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	,				Final		Provisio	nal
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01B								
The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 2,500 grams.								
				Annual Indicator I	<u>Data</u>			
	2000		2001	2002	2003		2004	
Annual Indicator		6.8	7.2	7.1		7.1		7.
Numerator	r 4	1,848	5,038	4,944		5,131		5,13
Denominator	r71	,542	70,389	70,107		71,990		71,990
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•				Final		Provisio	nal
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A The percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.				Annual Indicator I	<u>Data</u>			
	2000		2001	2002	2003		2004	
Annual Indicator		1.9	2.0	1.9		1.9		1.9
Numerator	r1	,388	1,446	1,422		1,440		1,440
Denominator	r74	,226	73,152	73,250		74,865		74,865
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	,				Final		Provisio	nal
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 1,500 grams.				Annual Indicator I	<u>Data</u>			
	2000		2001	2002	2003		2004	
Annual Indicator		1.5	1.4	1.6		1.5		1.5
Numerator								
Numerator	r1	,056	1,012	1,088		1,089		1,089
Denominato		,056 ,542	70,389			1,089 71,990		71,990

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

Final

HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03A	 _				
The death rate per 100,000 due to unintentional injuries among childr	en aged 14 years ar	nd younger.			
			Annual Indicator Da		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
	6.1			6.2	6.2
		88			71
		1,143,292	1,149,643		1,143,353
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03B					
The death rate per 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children a	aged 14 years and y	ounger due to motor			
	2000	2001	Annual Indicator Da 2002	<u>ata</u> 2003	2004
Annual Indicator	2.4		2002	2003	2004
				33	33
	27			33	
	1 136 8/6	1 1/3 202	1 1/0 6/3	1 1/13 353	1 1/13 353
		1,143,292	1,149,643	1,143,353 Provisional	1,143,353 Provisional
Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?		1,143,292	1,149,643	1,143,353 Provisional	1,143,353 Provisional
Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?			ough 24 years.	Provisional	
Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C	ehicle crashes amor	ng youth aged 15 thro	ugh 24 years. Annual Indicator Da	Provisional	Provisional
Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C The death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vi	ehicle crashes amor		ough 24 years.	Provisional	Provisional 2004
Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C The death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vi	ehicle crashes amor	ng youth aged 15 thro 2001 23.9	ugh 24 years. Annual Indicator Da 2002 22.1	Provisional ata 2003	Provisional 2004
Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C The death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor version of the death rate per 100,000 from Unintentional Injuries due to Motor version of the death rate per 100,000 from Unintentional Indicator Numerator	2000 20.4	2001 23.9	ough 24 years. Annual Indicator Da 2002 22.1 159	Provisional ata 2003 22.4 166	2004 22.4
Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C The death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor verification. Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 20.4 137 670,248	ng youth aged 15 thro 2001 23.9	ough 24 years. Annual Indicator Da 2002 22.1 159	Provisional ata 2003	Provisional 2004
Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C The death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vi Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 20.4 137 670,248	2001 23.9	ough 24 years. Annual Indicator Da 2002 22.1 159	Provisional 2003 22.4 166 742,214	2004 22 166 742,214
Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C The death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vice. Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 20.4 137 670,248	2001 23.9	ough 24 years. Annual Indicator Da 2002 22.1 159	Provisional 2003 22.4 166 742,214	2004 22 166 742,214
Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C The death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vi Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 20.4 137 670,248	2001 23.9	ough 24 years. Annual Indicator Day 2002 22.1 159 719,193	Provisional 2003 22.4 166 742,214 Final	2004 22 166 742,214
Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C The death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vi Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 20.4 137 670,248	2001 23.9 166 694,249	augh 24 years. Annual Indicator Day 2002 22.1 159 719,193 Annual Indicator Day	Provisional 2003 22.4 166 742,214 Final	2004 22.4 166 742,214 Provisional
Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C The death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vice. Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04A The rate per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 y	2000 20.4 137 670,248 rears and younger.	2001 23.9 166 694,249	Annual Indicator Day Annual Indicator Day 2002 22.1 159 719,193	Provisional 2003 22.4 166 742,214 Final	2004 22.4 166 742,214 Provisional
Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C The death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vice. Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04A The rate per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 y Annual Indicator	2000 20.4 137 670,248 rears and younger. 2000	2001 23.9 166 694,249 2001	Annual Indicator Da 2002 22.1 159 719,193 Annual Indicator Da 2002	Provisional 2003 22.4 166 742,214 Final 2003 184.7	2004 22.4 166 742,214 Provisional
Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C The death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vice. Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04A The rate per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 y Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 20.4 137 670,248 rears and younger. 2000 181.6 2,064	2001 23.9 166 694,249 2001	Annual Indicator Day 2002 22.1 159 719,193 Annual Indicator Day 2002 184.4 2,120	Provisional 2003 22.4 166 742,214 Final	2004 22.4 160 742,214 Provisional

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Provisional

Numerator 200 2001 2002 2003 2004 2004 2005 200				ata	Annual Indicator D	14 years and your	g children age	otor vehicle crashes am
Numerator 298 333 274 258 274		2004				2001		200
Denominator 1,136,846 1,143,292 1,149,643 1,143,353 1,	22.6		22.6		23.8	29.1	26.2	Annual Indicator
Ealth Status Indicator Measure # 04C Provision P	258		258		274	333	298	Numerator
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04C ne rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes among youth aged 15 through 24 years. 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	1,143,353		1,143,353		1,149,643	1,143,292	1,136,846	Denominator
Numerator 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia. 15 years 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. 1,000 women aged 20 thr	onal	Provisi	_	Final		_	-	ovisional or Final?
Annual Indicator 258.1 259.8 274.1 226.8				ata	Annual Indicator D	5 through 24 years.	g youth aged	•
Numerator 1,730 1,804 1,971 1,683		2004				2001		200
Denominator 670,248 694,249 719,193 742,214	226.8		226.8		274.1	259.8	258.1	Annual Indicator
Sthe Data Provisional or Final? Final Provision	1,683		1,683		1,971	1,804	1,730	Numerator
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia. 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	742,214		742,214		719,193	694,249	670,248	Denominator
Annual Indicator Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 Annual Indicator Data 2000 33.2 32.9 32.8 31.5 Numerator 5,283 5,875 6,035 6,097 Denominator 159,277 178,398 184,109 193,853 Is the Data Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. Annual Indicator Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 Annual Indicator Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 Annual Indicator Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	onal							
2000 2001 2002 2003 2004		Provisi		Final				
Annual Indicator 33.2 32.9 32.8 31.5 Numerator 5,283 5,875 6,035 6,097 Denominator 159,277 178,398 184,109 193,853 Is the Data Provisional or Final? Final Provision EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. Annual Indicator Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004		Provisi						ovisional or Final?
Denominator 159,277 178,398 184,109 193,853 Is the Data Provisional or Final? Final Provision EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B the rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. Annual Indicator Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004				ata				ovisional or Final? 6A ears with a reported case
Is the Data Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B e rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. Annual Indicator Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004				ata	2002	2001	chlamydia.	ovisional or Final? 5A ears with a reported case
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B ne rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. Annual Indicator Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	35.4		31.5	ata	32.8	2001 32.9	chlamydia.	ovisional or Final? 6A ears with a reported case 200 Annual Indicator
ne rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia. Annual Indicator Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	35.4 6,857		31.5 6,097	ata	2002 32.8 6,035	2001 32.9 5,875	chlamydia. 33.2 5,283	iA ars with a reported case Annual Indicator Numerator
	35. 6,85 193,85	2004	31.5 6,097 193,853	ata 2003	2002 32.8 6,035	2001 32.9 5,875	chlamydia. 33.2 5,283	ovisional or Final? 5A ars with a reported case 200 Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator
	35 6,857 193,853	2004	31.5 6,097 193,853	ata 2003 Final	32.8 6,035 184,109	2001 32.9 5,875 178,398	chlamydia. 33.2 5,283 159,277	Annual Indicator Denominator Ovisional or Final?
	35.4 6,85; 193,85; onal	2004	31.5 6,097 193,853	ata 2003 Final	32.8 6,035 184,109 Annual Indicator D	2001 32.9 5,875 178,398	chlamydia. 33.2 5,283 159,277 chlamydia.	Annual Indicator Denominator ovisional or Final?
Numerator 5,889 6,422 7,073 7,070 Denominator 988,888 1,011,720 1,000,541 1,005,992 1,	35.4 6,857 193,853	2004	31.5 6,097 193,853	ata 2003 Final	32.8 6,035 184,109 Annual Indicator D 2002	2001 32.9 5,875 178,398 2001 6.3	chlamydia. 33.2 5,283 159,277 chlamydia.	Annual Indicator Denominator Denominator

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Provisional

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 20

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01B

Field Name: HSI01B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Maryland Vital Statistics Administration

2. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02B

Field Name: HSI02B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Maryland Vital Statistics Administration

3. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03A

Field Name: HSI03A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Maryland DHMH, Center for Preventive Health Services; Population data: U.S. Census; Deaths: Maryland Vital Statistics Administration

4. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03B

Field Name: HSI03B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: DHMH Center for Preventive Health Services; Population - U.S. Census; Deaths: Maryland Vital Statistics Administration

5. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03C

Field Name: HSI03C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: DHMH Center for Preventive Health Services; Population - U.S. Census; Deaths - Maryland Vital Statistics Administration

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: DHMH Center for Preventive Health Services; Population - U.S. Census; Injuries - Maryland Health Services Cost Review Commission Hospital Discharge

Database

7. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: DHMH Center for Preventive Health Services; Population - U.S. Census, Injuries - MD HSCRC Hospital Discharge Database

8. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: DHMH Center for Preventive Health Services; Population - U.S. Census, Injuries - HSCRC Hospital Discharge Database

9. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05A

Field Name: HSI05A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Maryland Community Health Administration, Division of Sexually Transmitted Diseases, Population data: U.S. Census

10. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05B

Field Name: HSI05B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Maryland Community Health Administration, Division of Sexually Transmitted Diseases

FORM 21 **HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA**

STATE: MD

HSI #06A - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	73,462	43,324	24,103	146	3,089	32	2,768	
Children 1 through 4	291,235	173,333	93,847	714	12,599	190	10,552	
Children 5 through 9	369,378	223,426	117,740	1,203	15,739	200	11,070	
Children 10 through 14	409,468	248,347	134,605	1,373	15,662	215	9,266	
Children 15 through 19	386,908	239,700	122,086	1,320	16,039	255	7,508	
Children 20 through 24	355,306	217,619	112,660	1,397	17,582	305	5,743	
Children 0 through 24	1,885,757	1,145,749	605,041	6,153	80,710	1,197	46,907	0

HSI #06B - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY			Ethnicity Not Reported	
Infants 0 to 1	68,108	5,354		
Children 1 through 4	270,736	20,309		
Children 5 through 9	346,654	22,724		
Children 10 through 14	388,253	21,215		
Children 15 through 19	367,978	18,930		
Children 20 through 24	330,365	24,941		
Children 0 through 24	1,772,094	113,473	0	

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: MD

HSI #07A - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Women < 15	124	20	98	0	0	0	0	6
Women 15 through 17	2,085	801	1,184	8	12	0	0	80
Women 18 through 19	4,191	1,865	2,090	14	44	0	0	178
Women 20 through 34	54,448	32,167	17,211	130	3,292	0	0	1,648
Women 35 or older	14,017	9,080	3,657	27	994	0	0	259
Women of all ages	74,865	43,933	24,240	179	4,342	0	0	2,171

HSI #07B - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Women < 15	114	10	0
Women 15 through 17	1,829	254	2
Women 18 through 19	3,713	475	3
Women 20 through 34	49,011	5,400	37
Women 35 or older	13,175	827	<u>15</u>
Women of all ages	67,842	6,966	57

FORM 21 **HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA**

STATE: MD

HSI #08A - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More th

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	610	236	356	0	14	0	0	4
Children 1 through 4	77	36	39	0	2	0	0	0
Children 5 through 9	50	25	24	0	1	0	0	0
Children 10 through 14	79	38	38	0	3	0	0	0
Children 15 through 19	296	144	145	0	5	0	0	2
Children 20 through 24	457	199	244	0	13	0	0	1
Children 0 through 24	1,569	678	846	0	38	0	0	7

HSI #08B - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	
Infants 0 to 1	568	42	0	
Children 1 through 4	70	7	0	
Children 5 through 9	48	2	0	
Children 10 through 14	75	4	0	
Children 15 through 19	286	10	0	
Children 20 through 24	441	16	0	
Children 0 through 24	1,488	81	0	

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: MD

HSI #09A - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by race. (Demographics)

Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	1,530,451	928,130.0	492,381.0	4,756.0	63,128.0	892.0	41,164.0		2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	24.5	17.3	52.4	33.9	9.1	33.2	24.2	30.2	2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	3.5	1.7	6.8						2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	487,081	138,325.0	263,441.0	825.0	12,898.0	131.0		71,461.0	2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	135,059	46,244.0	59,657.0	207.0	5,166.0	41.0		23,744.0	2004
Number living in foster home care	10,309	2,148.0	8,161.0						2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	123,305	42,872.0	77,333.0	307.0	2,793.0				2004
Number enrolled in WIC	80,270	29,616.0	43,137.0	1,217.0	2,491.0	524.0		3,285.0	2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	245.3	245.3	245.3						2004
Percentage of high school drop- outs (grade 9 through 12)	3.9	2.8	5.7	4.1	1.4				2004

HSI #09B - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	1,437,592.0	92,859.0		2004
Percent in household headed by single parent		24.1		2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families		5.9		2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	345,907.0	38,795.0		2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	111,315.0	19,377.0		2004
Number living in foster home care	10,631.0	107.0		2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	120,480.0	2,825.0		2004
Number enrolled in WIC	64,856.0	15,414.0		2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests		245.3		2004
Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)		4.1		2004

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

STATE: MD

HSI #10 - Demographics (Geographic Living Area) Geographic living area for all resident children aged 0 through 19 years old. (Demographics)

GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREAS	TOTAL	
Living in metropolitan areas	1,270,411	
Living in urban areas	1,270,411	
Living in rural areas	259,850	
Living in frontier areas	0	
Total - all children 0 through 19	1,530,261	

The Total will be determined by adding reported numbers for urban, rural and frontier areas.

FORM 21 **HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA** STATE: MD

HSI #11 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL	
Total Population	5,558,058.0	
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	4.2	
100% of poverty	8.5	
200% of poverty	20.6	

FORM 21 **HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA** STATE: MD

HSI #12 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population aged 0 through 19 at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Children 0 through 19 years old	1,530,261.0
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	5.3
100% of poverty	10.7
200% of poverty	25.9

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 21

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Indicator 09A
 Field Name: HSIRace_Children
 Row Name: All children 0 through 19

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Population data by race/ethnicity is not available for 2004. This are 2003 population estimates.

2. Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace_SingleParentPercent

Row Name: Percent in household headed by single parent

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: 2000 U.S. Census data. Annie E. Casey Foundation Census data Website. Data is for Maryland.

Section Number: Indicator 09A
 Field Name: HSIRace_TANFPercent
 Row Name: Percent in TANF (Grant) families

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The Maryland Department of Human Resources does not collect data on TANF enrollees by race/ethnicity. There were 53,238 Maryland children enrolled in TANF on December 2003. Estimates are derived based on the percentage of the population enrolled in Medicaid. This percentage was applied to the number enrolled in TANF in December 2003 - 53,238

4. Section Number: Indicator 09A
Field Name: HSIRace_MedicaidNo
Row Name: Number enrolled in Medicaid

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: Medicaid data for SFY 2004. Represents number enrolled at any point during the fiscal year.

Section Number: Indicator 09A
 Field Name: HSIRace_SCHIPNo
 Row Name: Number enrolled in SCHIP

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: Maryland Medicaid Program. Represents numbers enrolled at any point during State Fiscal Year 2004.

6. Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace_JuvenileCrimeRate

Row Name: Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data for 2004 by race/ethnicity is forthcoming.

Section Number: Indicator 09B
 Field Name: HSIEthnicity_TANFPercent
 Row Name: Percent in TANF (Grant) families

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data is not available by race/ethnicity. Estimates are derived based on the percentage of the population enrolled in Medicaid. This percentage was applied to the number enrolled in TANF in December 2003 - 53,238.

8. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity_JuvenileCrimeRate

Row Name: Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note: Data is forthcoming.

9. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity_DropOutPercent

Row Name: Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data was not available for non-Hispanics as a group.

 Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12_50percent

Row Name: Percent Below: 50% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Based on 2000 Census estimates.

 Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12_100percent Row Name: 100% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Based on 2000 Census estimate

12. Section Number: Indicator 12
Field Name: S12_200percent
Row Name: 200% of poverty
Column Name:
Year: 2006
Field Note:
Based on 2000 Census estimate

NEW STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: MD

SP# 1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of pregnancies that are intended

GOAL Reduce the percentage of unintended pregnancies

DEFINITION Percent of PRAMS respondents who report that their pregnancies were intended.

Numerator:Number of PRAMS respondents indicating that their pregnancy was unintended in the calendar

Denominator:

Number of PRAMS respondents in the calendar year

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE Reduce rate of unintended pregnancy to 30%

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES PRAMS Survey

Unintended pregnancies are pregnancies that are mistimed or unwanted. Unintended pregnancy is a widespread problem that can have serious consequences for both the mother and baby. Children of unintended pregnancies are more likely to born at low birth weight, to die before their first birthday, to be abused or to not receive sufficient resources for healthy SIGNIFICANCE

development.

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of women reporting alcohol use during pregnancy

GOAL Eliminate alcohol use during pregnancy

DEFINITION

Numerator:Number of women who report using alcohol during pregnancy in the calendar year

Denominator:

Number of pregnant women **Units:** 100 **Text:** Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 94% of women to abstain from alcohol use during pregnancy; 100% to abstain from binge drinking

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES Maryland Vital Statistics; PRAMS Survey

SIGNIFICANCE Alcohol use during pregnancy is considered to be the leading cause of preventable birth defects and retardation in the U.S.

Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorders (FASD) encompasses the range of adverse effects that can result from alcohol exposure. The consequences of FASD are life long and can include learning disabilities, mental health problems and developmental

disabilities.

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of Maryland kindergartners entering school ready to learn

Increase the number of children entering school ready to learn GOAL

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of kindergarteners successfully completing the state's measure of school readiness

Denominator:

Number of kindergarteners tested

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Maryland Department of Education's Model for School Readiness, Work Sampling System

SIGNIFICANCE

Recent neuro-scientific research strongly supports the belief that young children's learning before they enter school is an essential foundation for later school success. Maryland has developed an assessment tool for measuring school readiness according to seven domains, including physical development and health.

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Emergency Department Visit Rate for Asthma among Children aged 0-4

GOAL Reduce asthma morbidity among Maryland children due to improper asthma control and management

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of emergency department visits in calendar year among children ages 0-4

Denominator:

Number of children ages 0-4 Units: 10000 Text: Rate

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

No more than 80 visits per 10,000 population

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Maryland Asthma Surveillance System

SIGNIFICANCE

Asthma is a leading cause of childhood morbidity. Emergency department visit rates are highest for children aged 0-4. Many emergency visits are avoidable with appropriate preventive and therapeutic care.

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: High school graduation rate

GOAL Increase the percentage of Maryland 12th graders completing high school during the school year

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of 12th graders who complete the twelfth grade during the school year

Denominator:

Number of 12th graders enrolled in public high schools

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Maryland State Department of Education Database

SIGNIFICANCE

Adolescents who fail to complete high school are a greater risk for a number of negative outcomes in life, many of the linked to socio-economic status. They are more likely to have lower lifetime earnings, more likely to become involved in crime, and more likely to unemployed. Lower income is also correlated with poorer health status.

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percentage of local jurisdictions addressing the issue of respite for families of CSHCN

GOAL To increase access to respite services by all families of CSHCN in the State

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of local health departments offering respite services

Denominator:

Number of local health departments

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES The C

The Office for Genetics and CSHCN will supply data for this measure. Other measures to be explored include: Number of CSHCN grants to local jurisdictions Number of respite providers trained Number of families receiving respite services

SIGNIFICANCE A number of needs assessments through local health departments, Infants and Toddlers programs, health providers and

parent groups have identified respite care as the most important unmet service need for families of CSHCN.

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

OBJECTIVE

Page 90 of 96

SP#_____7

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of mothers breastfeeding at six months

GOAL Increase the percentage of Maryland mothers continuing to breastfeed at six months

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of mothers reporting continuing to breastfeed at six months postpartum

Denominator:

Number of mothers in the reporting period

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

Fifty percent of mothers breastfeeding at six months.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

SIGNIFICANCE

Several databases will be consulted included PRAMS, the National Immunization Survey, and the Ross Survey

Human milk is the most optimal form of nutrition for infants through the first six months of life according to the American Academy of Pediatrics. Breastfeeding offers numerous nutritional and health benefits for both mothers and babies.

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of local jurisdictions with written plans to address racial and ethnic disparities in maternal and child health

GOAL Eliminate disparities in maternal and child health

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of jurisdictions with plans to address disparties in maternal and child health

Number of jurisdictions in the state

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

Eliminate health disparities

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Center for Maternal and Child Health Data files

SIGNIFICANCE

Racial and ethnic minorities, particularly African Americans, suffer from higher levels of mortality and morbidity than Caucasian Americans as measured by a number of MCH indicators. This disparities often represent inequities and they

must be eradicated.

OBJECTIVE

SP#____9

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of jurisdictions that partner with medical homes to develop and to disseminate resource materials.

GOAL Improve access to care for CSHCN

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of jurisdictions disseminating resource guides to families of CSHCN

Denominator:

Number of jurisdictions

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Office for Genetics and Children with Special Health Care Needs files

SIGNIFICANCE

This is a developmental measure which seeks to measure local ability to provide "consumer friendly" resource information to families of CSHCN. Many families of CSHCN often find it difficult to navigate the service system and could use resource

information to guide them.

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Number of policy or issue briefs developed by the Title V program

GOAL To improve Title V capacity to analyze and translate data

DEFINITION

Numerator:

The Title V Program completes at least one policy brief during the fiscal year.

Denominator:

Units: Yes Text: Text

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Title V Program files

SIGNIFICANCE

This is a developmental measure has to do with improving the state's capacity to analyze data and formulate maternal and child health policy.

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 SO # 1

OUTCOME MEASURE: Adolescent and Young Adult Death Rate per 100,000 aged 15-24

GOAL Reduce preventable deaths occuring to adolescents and young adults between the ages of 15 and 24 in Maryland

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of deaths to adolescent and young adults between the ages of 15 and 24 during the calendar year

Denominator

Number of adolescents and young adults between the ages of 15 and 24 during the calendar year

Units: 100000 Text: Rate

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Maryland Vital Statistics and Child Fatality Review Reports

SIGNIFICANCE

Many adolescent and young adult deaths result from preventable injuries and other causes.

OBJECTIVE